

LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings
44-9423

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
SDR	98.2	1
Forte and Tablada	91.1	2
Huval and Associates	91.0	3
Modjeski and Masters	81.1	4
Volkert	73.0	5

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings
 Project: 44-9423

Firm Name	Work type	%	Rem. \$1,000	Firm Size			Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performance	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)
(Weighting Factors)					3		3	4	4	6	5		
Forte and Tablada	BZ	65	369	31	4.6	>	2.5	2.5	4.7	4.4	4.9	101.1	91.1
Stantec	BZ	30	8,386	57	2.1	>	2.8	2.8	4.8	3.9	1.0	73.1	
Bridge Diagnostics	BZ	5	370,505	9	4.6	P	3.0	3.0	1.0	4.1	1.0	68.5	
Huval and Associates	BZ	65	1,603	27	4.8	>	2.7	2.6	4.9	4.1	4.1	97.5	91.0
Modjeski and Masters	BZ	23	15,512	36	2.7	>	3.2	3.2	4.1	4.2	1.0	76.7	
Bridge Diagnostics	BZ	5	370,505	9	4.8	P	3.0	3.0	1.0	4.1	1.0	68.9	
Civil Design	BZ	7	587	16	4.8	P	1.8	1.8	4.8	4.1	*	93.2	
Modjeski and Masters	BZ	51.75	15,512	36	4.2	>	3.2	3.2	4.1	4.2	1.0	81.3	81.1
Bridge Diagnostics	BZ	23	370,505	9	4.8	>	3.0	3.0	1.0	4.1	1.0	69.0	
Huval & Associates	BZ	17.25	1,603	27	2.7	>	2.7	2.6	4.9	4.1	4.1	91.3	
Civil Design	BZ	8	587	16	4.2	P	1.8	1.8	4.8	4.1	*	91.7	
SDR	BZ	98	1,292	24	4.5	>	2.7	2.7	4.8	4.3	4.2	98.4	98.2
Facility Inspection and Transportation	BZ	2	0	2	4.5	P	2.8	2.8	1.0	4.1	*	86.4	
Volkert	BZ	55	6,856	37	4.3	<	2.5	2.5	3.1	2.5	1.0	62.8	73.0
Collins	BZ	20	0	3	3.2	>	2.3	2.4	1.0	4.1	*	79.2	
Hardesty & Hanover	BZ	9	0	4	4.7	P	2.6	2.6	1.0	4.0	5.0	85.2	
T Baker Smith	BZ	9	1,436	24	4.3	P	2.2	2.2	4.3	4.8	4.1	94.5	
Rahman and Associates	BZ	7	436	9	4.3	P	2.3	2.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	92.8	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.
 * indicates the average previous work was assigned.
 P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.

101.1
 73.1
 68.5
 97.5
 76.7
 68.9
 93.2
 81.3
 69.0
 91.3
 91.7
 98.4
 86.4
 62.8
 79.2
 85.2
 94.5
 92.8