

**LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings**

**44-9854**

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>Richard C Lambert</b>	<b>122.4</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Design Engineering</b>	<b>121.4</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Digital Engineering</b>	<b>119.2</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Meyer Engineers, Ltd.</b>	<b>118.6</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>ECM</b>	<b>115.7</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Providence</b>	<b>111.8</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Linfield, Hunter &amp; Junius, Inc.</b>	<b>110.5</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>All South Consulting</b>	<b>110.0</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Stuart Consulting Group</b>	<b>105.4</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Volkert</b>	<b>102.5</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>H. Davis Cole &amp; Associates, LLC</b>	<b>94.4</b>	<b>11</b>

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings

Project: 44-9854

Firm Name	Work type	%	Remain (\$1000)	Firm Size			Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performanc	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if
(Weighting Factors)				3		3	4	6	6	5			
<b>All South Consulting</b>	CC, CF, CPM	68	0	29	3.2	>	3.3	3.0	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	111.3
sub-The Beta Group	CC, CF, CPM	32	44	70	1.0	>	3.5	3.6	5.0	4.0	*	5.0	107.2
<b>Design Engineering</b>	CC, CF, CPM	98	311	18	4.5	>	3.8	3.8	5.0	4.5	*	4.8	121.4
sub-Eustis	CC, CF, CPM	2	545	100	4.5	P	3.9	3.7	5.0	4.0	*	5.0	119.1
<b>Digital Engineering</b>	CC, CF, CPM	90	419	20	4.3	>	4.2	4.2	5.0	3.8	*	4.8	118.9
sub-The Beta Group	CC, CF, CPM	3	44	70	4.3	P	3.5	3.6	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	117.2
sub-Construction Optimization E	CC, CF, CPM	7	535	10	4.3	P	4.4	4.3	4.9	5.0	*	4.2	123.8
<b>ECM</b>	CC, CF, CPM	91	980	32	3.6	>	3.9	3.9	5.0	3.9	*	4.6	115.0
sub-SJB Group, LLC	CC, CF, CPM	7	614	41	3.6	P	4.3	4.4	4.9	4.9	*	4.9	124.6
sub-The Beta Group	CC, CF, CPM	2	44	70	3.6	P	3.5	3.6	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	115.1
<b>H. Davis Cole &amp; Associates, LLC</b>	CC, CF, CPM	73	31,062	11	4.7	>	2.7	2.1	5.0	4.1	*	1.0	90.0
sub-The Beta Group	CC, CF, CPM	27	44	70	1.0	>	3.5	3.4	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	106.3
<b>Linfield, Hunter &amp; Junius, Inc.</b>	CC, CF, CPM	67	40	12	4.6	>	3.4	2.3	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	112.5
sub-The Beta Group	CC, CF, CPM	33	44	70	1.0	>	3.5	3.4	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	106.3
<b>Meyer Engineers, Ltd.</b>	CC, CF, CPM	85	922	20	4.2	>	4.0	3.9	5.0	4.4	*	4.4	118.7
sub-The Beta Group	CC, CF, CPM	8	44	70	4.2	P	3.5	3.6	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	117.0
sub-Neel-Schaffer	CC, CF, CPM	7	1,756	48	4.2	P	3.4	3.5	5.0	4.9	*	4.6	119.2
<b>Providence</b>	CC, CF, CPM	100	1,039	40	3.4	>	3.6	3.2	5.0	4.1	*	4.7	111.8
<b>Richard C Lambert</b>	CC, CF, CPM	96	0	17	4.6	>	4.1	4.0	5.0	4.3	*	5.0	122.6
sub-The Beta Group	CC, CF, CPM	4	44	70	4.6	P	3.6	3.7	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	118.6
<b>Stuart Consulting Group</b>	CC, CF, CPM	75	0	24	3.8	>	2.4	1.9	5.0	4.1	*	5.0	105.6
sub-The Beta Group	CC, CF, CPM	25	44	70	1.0	>	3.3	3.2	5.0	4.0	*	5.0	104.7
<b>Volkert</b>	CC, CF, CPM	84	5,389	31	3.5	>	4.2	4.4	5.0	4.3	*	1.00	101.08
sub-GOTECH, Inc.	CC, CF, CPM	9	1,203	3	3.5	P	4.3	4.4	4.9	4.0	*	1.00	99.86
sub-SJB Group, LLC	CC, CF, CPM	7	614	41	3.5	P	4.0	4.3	4.9	4.9	*	4.85	122.88

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

\* indicates the average previous work was assigned.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime (if work is less than 10%)