

LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings

Project: 44-11253 & 44-11306

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
Gresham, Smith, and Partners	89.66	1
ARCADIS	88.66	2
GEC, Inc.	82.31	3

LADOTD Consultant Computed Ratings
Project: 44-11253 & 44-11306

Firm Name	Work Type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	*Firm Size	Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	*Location	*Past Performance	*Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)	
(Weighting Factors)				3	3	4	4	6	5			
GEC, Inc.	CC/CF/IT/CM	97	16,369	136	1.29 >	4.12	3.96	4.76	3.91	1.48	81.96	82.31
sub-GOTECH	CC/CF/IT/CM	3	1,217	50	1.29 P	3.34	3.48	4.73	3.83 *	4.74	93.35	
Gresham, Smith, and Partners	CC/CF/IT/CM	51	911	22	4.21 >	2.22	2.18	4.72	3.48 *	4.47	90.14	89.66
sub-Michael Baker International	CC/CF/IT/CM	23	1,385	18	3.15 >	2.50	2.54	4.76	3.87 *	3.63	87.51	
sub-ECM Consultants, Inc	CC/CF/IT/CM	23	501	31	1.46 >	3.20	3.04	4.13	3.83	4.84	89.83	
sub-Civil Design & Construction	CC/CF/IT/CM	3	99	16	4.21 P	1.88	2.20	4.84	4.24 *	4.94	96.58	
ARCADIS	CC/CF/IT/CM	97	5,683	90	2.72 >	2.68	2.56	4.74	3.87 *	4.01	88.63	88.66
sub-Forte & Tablada	CC/CF/IT/CM	3	546	33	2.72 P	2.22	2.22	4.74	3.83 *	4.83	89.77	

> Indicates the firm is too large. < Indicates the firm is too small. P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.

* Indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.

Note: The scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/- 0.005.