

**LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings**

**Project: 4400007102**

**Date: 1/4/2016 Time: 9:20:05 AM**

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>Arcadis</b>	<b>89.0</b>	<b>1</b>

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings

Project: 4400007102

Date: 1/4/2016 Time: 9:20:05 AM

Firm Name	Work type	Per cent	Rem. \$1,000	Firm size	Comp atib	Comp flag	Firm Exp.	Staff Exp.	Loca tion	Loc flag	Prev work	Prev flag	Work load	Total Rating	Team Score
(Weighting Factors)					3		3	4	4		6		5		
<b>Arcadis</b>	ITS	33	4479	62	3.4	>	2.6	2.8	3.8		4.7		4	92.6	<b>89.0</b>
--sub--Detel Wireless, L	ITS	32	718	28	4	>	2.8	3	4		3.8*		4	91.2	
--sub--INTELLIGENT TRANS	ITS	32	1400	6	0.9	<	3	3	3.8		4.1		4	83.5	
--sub--Traffic Solutions	ITS	3	2743	52	3.4	P	2.6	2.6	3.1		3.8*		4	83.6	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

\* indicates the statewide average previous work (3.8) was assigned.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.

# - all previous work ratings based upon the 1-5 scale; all other categories utilize the 0-4 scale.

Note: Additional criteria specified was the rating of the proposed draft management plan (factor of 5), and the proposed ITS maintenance management system , response times and penalties (factor of 5)

These items were included in the submittal, but were not graded (only submittal under consideration)