

**LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings****Project: 44-10283**

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>ECM Consultants, Inc.</b>	<b>120.52</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Design Engineering, Inc.</b>	<b>120.26</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Richard C Lambert</b>	<b>118.71</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Digital Engineering</b>	<b>117.96</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Providence</b>	<b>116.30</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>All South Consulting Engineers, LLC</b>	<b>113.59</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Linfield, Hunter &amp; Junius, Inc.</b>	<b>113.43</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Stuart Consulting Group</b>	<b>110.05</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>H. Davis Cole &amp; Associates, LLC</b>	<b>104.55</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>GEC, Inc.</b>	<b>103.85</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Rahman &amp; Associates, Inc. DBE</b>	<b>101.57</b>	<b>11</b>

**LADOTD Consultant Rating - Computed Ratings**

**Project: 44-10283**

Firm Name	Work type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	Firm Size			Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performance	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)	
(Weighting Factors)				3	3	4	6	6	5					
<b>All South Consulting Engineers, LLC</b>	CC, CF	66	0	27	4.68	>	2.62	3.40	5.00	4.03	*	5.00	114.66	113.59
sub-Beta	CC, CF	34	164	76	1.01	>	4.20	4.20	5.00	4.03	*	4.98	111.53	
<b>Design Engineering, Inc.</b>	CC, CF	98	311	18	3.95	<	3.96	3.90	5.00	4.47		4.83	120.28	120.26
sub-Eustis	CC, CF	2	821	99	3.95	P	4.06	4.08	5.00	4.03	*	4.92	119.15	
<b>Digital Engineering</b>	CC, CF	58	311	20	4.81	>	4.04	4.10	5.00	3.85		4.85	120.29	117.96
sub-Meyer Engineers, Ltd.	CC, CF	40	1,826	20	4.50	>	4.14	3.98	5.00	4.48		3.13	114.33	
sub-Beta	CC, CF	2	164	76	4.81	P	4.20	4.20	5.00	4.03	*	4.98	122.92	
<b>ECM Consultants, Inc.</b>	CC, CF	98	734	31	4.86	>	4.18	4.06	5.00	3.90	*	4.74	120.47	120.52
sub-Beta	CC, CF	2	164	76	4.86	P	4.20	4.20	5.00	4.04	*	4.98	123.12	
<b>GEC, Inc.</b>	CC, CF	100	13,092	136	2.77	>	3.78	3.80	5.00	4.06		2.92	103.85	103.85
<b>H. Davis Cole &amp; Associates, LLC</b>	CC, CF	77	188	10	3.09	<	2.58	2.08	5.00	4.03	*	4.81	103.52	104.55
sub-Beta	CC, CF	23	164	76	1.00	>	3.70	3.70	5.00	4.03	*	4.98	108.02	
<b>Linfield, Hunter &amp; Junius, Inc.</b>	CC, CF	66	40	21	4.86	>	3.22	3.08	5.00	4.03	*	4.98	115.64	113.43
sub-Beta	CC, CF	34	164	76	1.01	>	3.86	3.86	5.00	4.03	*	4.98	109.16	
<b>Providence</b>	CC, CF	93	1,132	39	4.66	>	3.62	3.68	4.79	4.03	*	4.67	115.83	116.30
sub-Beta	CC, CF	7	164	76	4.66	P	4.20	4.20	5.00	4.04	*	4.98	122.50	
<b>Rahman &amp; Associates, Inc. DBE</b>	CC, CF	80	465	7	2.41	<	3.12	2.40	5.00	4.03	*	3.92	99.97	101.57
sub-Beta	CC, CF	20	164	76	1.00	<	3.70	3.70	5.00	4.03	*	4.98	107.98	
<b>Richard C Lambert</b>	CC, CF	96	0	17	3.85	<	3.98	4.00	5.00	4.24		5.00	119.92	118.71
sub-APS Design and Testing	CC, CF	4	4,063,000	24	3.85	P	2.90	2.78	4.84	4.04	*	1.00	89.61	
<b>Stuart Consulting Group</b>	CC, CF	89.2	0	25	4.94	<	2.24	2.12	5.00	4.03	*	5.00	109.18	110.05
sub-Beta	CC, CF	8.75	164	76	4.94	P	3.70	3.70	5.00	4.04	*	4.98	119.83	
sub-ITS	CC, CF	2.1	92	13	4.94	P	1.80	1.80	5.00	4.04	*	4.94	106.31	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

\* indicates the average previous work was assigned in at least one of the work categories for this firm.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime (if work is less than 10%)

Note: the scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/- 0.005.