STAGE 0

Preliminary Scope and Budget Checklist

MPO Area: New Orleans RPC

Pouto	62		Parish	ST. TAMMANY	
Noute _	LA 1088				
Project	Category (Safety, Capac	ity, etc.):	Safety		_
Date Str	udy Completed:	2-28-14			
Describ	e the existing facility:				
Functio	nal classification:	UA2		Number and width of lanes:	2 and 12'
Shoulde	er width and type:	2' Paved oper	n	Mode:	_
Access	control:	ADT:	9,800	Posted Speed: 45MF	PH, 55 MPH
	e any existing pedestria pedestrian facilities):			nce should be considered for a	all improvements tha
Describ	e the adjacent land use:		Resident	ial	
Who is	the sponsor of the study	? <u>LAD</u>	OTD		
List stud	dy team members:	Jeff Brown			
				vstem (new alignment, new facility? N	
Are the	re recent, current or near	future planning	studies or p	rojects in the vicinity?	Y
If yes, p	please describe the relation	onship of this pr	oject to thos	e studies/projects.	Traffic Study
Provide alternation			-	s: Study Traffic	to determine differen
	f the project. Also, iden	tify any addition	nal goals and	Need (problem or issue)/Corrid l objectives for the project. ections along the corridor.	lor Vision and a brie
State the scope of	Agency Coordination a brief synopsis of coe agencies.	tify any addition ety and operation oordination with	nal goals and ons of interse	objectives for the project.	nental, regulatory and

C.	Agency Coordination (Continued)
What st	teps will need to be taken with each agency during NEPA scoping? Contact and set up meetings with various agencies.
	Contact and set up meetings with various agencies.
D.	Public Coordination
	e a synopsis of the coordination effort with the public and stakeholders; include specific timelines g details, agendas, sign-in sheets, etc. (if applicable).
	Two Stakeholder Meeting with the public officials and One Public Information Meeting
E.	Range of Alternatives – Evaluation and Screening
Give a	description of the project concept for each alternative studied.
What a applica	
median	No Build, Roundabout corridor/without median and sidwalks, and Roundabout corridor/with control and sidewalks
Will de	sign exceptions be required?N
What in	mpact would this project have on freight movements? None
Does th	nis project cross or is it near a railroad crossing? N
Was the	e DOTD's "Complete Streets" policy taken into consideration? Y
•	If so, describe how. Include a brief explanation of why the policy was determined to be feasible or no feasible. One alternative suggest a shared use path along the corridor
How ar	re Context Sensitive Solutions being incorporated into the project? N
	e DOTD's "Access Management" policy taken into consideration? If so, describe how. Y, by ing safety by adding roundabouts, and possibly adding median and restricting turning movements
	ny safety analyses performed? If so describe results. Listed the crashes along the corridor for a ear period
Are the	are any abnormal crash locations or overrepresented crashes within the project limits? N
What fo	uture traffic analyses are anticipated? Reanalyze the current traffic study if needed at a later date.

Е.	Range of Alternatives – Evaluation and Screening (Continued)
Will fib	per optics be required? If so, are there existing lines to tie into?N
Are the	ere any future ITS/traffic considerations? N
Is a Tra	Insportation Management Plan (TMP) required? Is this project considered significant as defined in EDSM No. VI.1.1.4? No If yes, describe the mobility and safety analysis and assessment that was conducted as required in the
	development of a TMP.
•	What further data will need to be collected to address the content and scope of the TMP in the design stage/phase of this project?
Was Co	onstruction Transportation Management/Property Access taken into consideration? Y
Were a	Iternative construction methods considered to mitigate work zone impacts? Y
Describ	be screening criteria used to compare alternatives and from what agency the criteria were defined. Safety, Travel times, and Public Meeting
Give ar	n explanation for any alternative that was eliminated based on the screening criteria.
	N/A
Which	alternatives should be brought forward into NEPA and why?
	The ones that are listed in the traffic study.
	e public, stakeholders and agencies have an opportunity to comment during the alternative screening Yes
-	be any unresolved issues with the public, stakeholders and/or agencies.
F.	Planning Assumptions and Analytical Methods
What is	s the forecast year used in the study?
	nethod was used for forecasting traffic volumes? <u>MPO growth rate</u>
	e planning assumptions and the corridor vision/purpose and need statement consistent with the long range relation plan?
	tuture year policy and/or data assumptions were used in the transportation planning process as they are to land use, economic development, transportation costs and network expansion?

G. Potential Environmental Impacts

See the attached Stage 0 Environmental Checklist

H. Cost Estimate

Provide a cost estimate for each feasible alternative:

Phase	Total Estimated Cost	Funding Source (STP>200K, STP<200K, CMAQ, DEMO, DOTD Priority Program)	Match Provided By (City, Parish, State, Other)	TIP Fiscal Year
Environmental (document, mitigation, etc.)	\$500K			
Engineering Design	\$2.1 million			
R/W Acquisition (C of A if applicable)	\$2.7 million			
Utility Relocations	\$2.2 million			
Construction	\$25.1 million			
Construction Engineering & Inspection Services	\$2.5 million			
TOTAL COST	\$35.1 million			

ATTACH ANY ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION

Disposition (circle one): (1) Advance to Stage 1 (2) Hold for Reconsideration (3) Shelve

Route	LA 1	088		Parish: _	ST. TAMMA	ANY_
C.S	852-11	Begin Lo	g mile	0.0	End Log mile	3.6
ADJA	CENT LAND U	J SE : re	sidential			
Any pi	operty owned	by a Native Ai	merican Tri	ibe?		
	or Unknown)					
	roperty enrolled V or Unknown)			_	1	
	ere any other k N) If so, give the					
		s: Is the proje	ct impactin	g or adjacen	t to any (if the answe	er is yes, list names and
location (Y or N		N				
	N) Churches					
(Y or N	N) Public Facilit	ies (i.e., fire sta	tion, library	, etc.)	N	
	N) Community v					
Section	n 4(f) issue: Is	the project i	mpacting o	r adjacent t	o any (if the answer	is yes, list names and
location	ns):		-	•	• .	•
(Y or N	N) Historic Sites		N			
(Y or I		ect within a h question, list n	istoric distances and loc	rict or a nat cations below	ional landmark dist :	ter of Historic Places? rict? (Y or N) If the
	ı know of any t st species and lo				e area? (Y or N)	
11 50, 11	st species and it	cation.	11			
					by the Louisiana Sco	enic Rivers Act? (Y or
					21 within proposed	ROW? (Y or N) If so,
					vigable? (Y or N) If	unknown, state so, list
	ms? (If the ans (Y or N) Leak (Y or N) CER (Y or N) ERN	wer is yes, list it ing Undergrou	names and lend Storage	ocations.) Fanks	Y	tabases for potential

Underground Storage Tanks (UST): Are there any Gasoline Stations or other facilities that may
have UST on or adjacent to the project? (Y or N) N If so, give the name and location:
Any chemical plants, refineries or landfills adjacent to the project? (Y or N) Any large manufacturing facilities adjacent to the project? (Y or N) Dry Cleaners? (Y or N) If yes to any, give names and locations: N, N, N
Oil/Gas wells: Have you checked DNR database for registered oil and gas wells? (Y or N) List the type and location of wells being impacted by the project. Y (none)
Are there any possible residential or commercial relocations/displacements? (Y or N) How many? Y, TBD
Do you know of any sensitive community or cultural issues related to the project? (Y or N) If so, explain
Is the project area population minority or low income? (Y or N)N
What type of detour/closures could be used on the job? N/A
Did you notice anything of environmental concern during your site/windshield survey of the area? If so, explain below.
Jeff Brown
Point of Contact
(225)379-1305
Phone Number
2/28/14
Data .

General Explanation:

To adequately consider projects in Stage 0, some consideration must be given to the human and natural environment which will be impacted by the project. The Environmental Checklist was designed knowing that some environmental issues may surface later in the process. This checklist was designed to obtain basic information, which is readily accessible by reviewing public databases and by visiting the site. It is recognized that some information may be more accessible than other information. Some items on the checklist may be more important than others depending on the type of project. It is recommended that the individual completing the checklist do their best to answer the questions accurately. Feel free to comment or write any explanatory comments at the end of the checklist.

The Databases:

To assist in gathering public information, the previous sheet includes web addresses for some of the databases that need to be consulted to complete the checklist. As of February 2011, these addresses were accurate.

Note that you will not have access to the location of any threatened or endangered (T&E) species. The web address lists only the threatened or endangered species in Louisiana by Parish. It will generally describe their habitat and other information. If you know of any species in the project area, please state so, but you will not be able to confirm it yourself. If you feel this may be an issue, please contact the Environmental Section. We have biologist on staff who can confirm the presence of a species.

Why is this information important?

Land Use? Indicator of biological issues such as T&E species or wetlands.

Tribal Land Ownership? Tells us whether coordination with tribal nations will be required.

WRP properties? Farmland that is converted back into wetlands. The Federal government has a permanent easement which cannot be expropriated by the State. Program is operated through the Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly the Soil Conservation Service).

Community Elements? DOTD would like to limit adverse impacts to communities. Also, public facilities may be costly to relocate.

Section 4(f) issues? USDOT agencies are required by law to avoid certain properties, unless a prudent or feasible alternative is not available.

Historic Properties? Tells us if we have a Section 106 issue on the project. (Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act) See http://www.achp.gov/work106.html for more details.

Scenic Streams? Scenic streams require a permit and may require restricted construction activities.

Significant Trees? Need coordination and can be important to community.

Age of Bridge? Section 106 may apply. Bridges over 50 years old are evaluated to determine if they are eligible for the National Register of Historic Places.

Navigability? If navigable, will require an assessment of present and future navigation needs and US Coast Guard permit.

Hazardous Material? Don't want to purchase property if contaminated. Also, a safety issue for construction workers if right-of-way is contaminated.

Oil and Gas Wells? Expensive if project hits a well.

Relocations? Important to community. Real Estate costs can be substantial depending on location of project. Can result in organized opposition to a project.

Sensitive Issues? Identification of sensitive issues early greatly assists project team in designing public involvement plan.

Minority/Low Income Populations? Executive Order requires Federal Agencies to identify and address disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects on minority or low income populations. (Often referred to as Environmental Justice)

Detours? The detour route may have as many or more impacts. Should be looked at with project. May be unacceptable to the public.

Louisiana Governor's Office of Indian Affairs:

http://www.indianaffairs.com/tribes.htm

Louisiana Wetlands Reserve Program:

http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/wrp/states/la.html

Community Water Well/Supply

http://sonris.com/default.htm

Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries – Wildlife Refuges

http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/refuges

http://www.fws.gov/refuges/profiles/ByState.cfm?state=LA

http://www.fws.gov/refuges/refugelocatormaps/Louisiana.html

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service – National Wetlands Inventory:

http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/

Louisiana State Historic Sites:

http://www.crt.state.la.us/parks/ihistoricsiteslisting.aspx

National Register of Historic Places (Louisiana):

http://nrhp.focus.nps.gov/natreghome.do?searchtype=natreghome

http://www.nationalregisterofhistoricplaces.com/la/state.html

National Historic Landmarks Program:

http://www.nps.gov/history/nhl/

Threatened and Endangered Species Databases:

http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/wildlife/louisiana-natural-heritage-program

Louisiana Scenic Rivers:

http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/wildlife/scenic-rivers

http://media.wlf.state.la.us/experience/scenicrivers/louisiananaturalandscenicriversdescriptions/

http://www.legis.state.la.us/lss/lss.asp?doc=104995

Significant Tree Policy (EDSM I.1.1.21)

http://notes1/ppmemos.nsf

(Live Oak, Red Oak, White Oak, Magnolia or Cypress, aesthetically important, 18" or greater in diameter at breast height and has form that separates it from surrounding or that which may be considered historic.)

CERCLIS (Superfund Sites):

http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/cursites/

http://www.epa.gov/enviro/html/cerclis/cerclis_query.html

ERNS - Emergency Response Notification System - Database of oil and hazardous substances spill

reports: http://www.epa.gov/region4/r4data/erns/index.htm

Enforcement & Compliance History (ECHO)

http://www.epa-echo.gov/echo/

DEQ - Underground Storage Tank Program Information:

http://www.deq.louisiana.gov/portal/tabid/2674/Default.aspx

Leaking Underground Storage Tanks:

http://www.deq.state.la.us/portal/tabid/79/Default.aspx

SONRIS – Oil and Gas Well Information & Water Well Information http://sonris.com/default.htm
Environmental Justice (minority & low income) http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/ej2000.htm
Demographics http://www.census.gov/
FHWA's Environmental Website http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/index.htm
Additional Databases Checked
Other Comments: