LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings

Project: 44-13215

Firm Name	Rating	Rank		
Beast Engineering, LLC	104.35	1		
Michael Baker International	101.11	2		

LADOTD Consultant Computed Ratings Project: 44-13215

Firm Name	Work Type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	Firm Size			Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performanc	e	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)
(Weighting Factors)					3		3	4	4	6		5		
Beast Engineering, LLC	CC/CF/CM	74	1,304	17	4.31	<	3.98	4.32	5.00	4.19	*	3.63	105.45	104.35
Denmon Engineering Co., Inc.	CC/CF/CM	6.5	474	32	4.31	Р	3.68	3.78	4.77	4.05	*	4.85	106.74	
Pan American Engineers, Inc.	CC/CF/CM	6.5	34	75	4.31	Р	3.24	3.30	4.47	4.05	*	5.00	102.99	
Neel-Shaffer, Inc.	CC/CF/CM	3.9	1,039	36	4.31	Р	3.62	3.34	5.00	4.05	*	4.67	104.79	
Hardesty & Hanover	CC/CF/CM	6.5	100	10	4.31	Р	3.54	3.58	1.00	4.05	*	4.91	90.69	
American Testing Lab, LLC	CC/CF/CM	2.6	0	11	4.31	Р	3.12	3.16	5.00	4.05	*	5.00	104.22	
Michael Baker International	CC/CF/CM	76	981	26	4.88	>	4.04	3.84	3.51	4.08	*	4.53	103.34	101.11
Gresham, Smith and Partners	CC/CF/CM	10	707	17	2.44	>	3.36	3.76	3.48	4.06	*	4.46	93.03	
Modjeski and Masters	CC/CF/CM	6	12,317	31	4.88	Р	3.94	3.54	2.84	4.07	*	1.00	81.41	
Hardesty & Hanover	CC/CF/CM	4	100	8	4.88	Р	3.54	3.68	2.91	4.07	*	4.88	100.49	
GeoEngineers	CC/CF/CM	4	383	39	4.88	Р	3.60	3.94	3.46	4.90	*	4.91	109.03	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same Firm Size score as the prime.

Note: The scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on $8\ 1/2" \times 11"$ paper. The actual values may vary by as much as $+/-\ 0.005$.

^{*} indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.