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## Questions \& Answers:

Q1. Should the 24-102 include Consultants for survey and soil services?
A1. A licensed land surveyor and a geotechnical engineer are NOT required for this retainer.
Q2. From Addendum No. 1, DOTD has taken out number "21) Preparation of right-of-way maps when required for TSM or other related projects" of the Part I (a) Topographic Survey section. The project description states "Transportation Systems Management (TSM)" but since number 21 has been omitted, TSM is not mentioned anywhere else but in the project description. Should TSM be omitted from of the Scope of Services completely?

A2. No
Q3. Since a PLS is not required, is it acceptable to include a 24-102 for a surveying sub-consultant since the Scope of Services has a surveying component and show them as $0 \%$ in case it is needed in the future?

A3. A licensed land surveyor is not required. There is surveying and/or data collection required to varying extents - all of which can be done by an engineering firm. If the consultants do the work themselves or choose to use an outside source is up to them. I have no objections to a firm including the personnel that they intend to use on the job for data collection; however, this may force them to use the personnel listed for all data collection, regardless of complexity.

Q4. The advertisement states that DOTD will use Road Design (RX) and Road Design-Pavement Preservation (RP) performance ratings for this project. Is the intent of the next paragraph, "Consultants with no past performance rating in a rating category will be assigned the average rating of the firms submitting; capped at the average of the statewide average ratings for both categories as of the date the advertisement was posted?

A4. We are using standard language in the advertisement.

