

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings**Project: 4400009030****Date: 10/10/2016 Time: 3:05:38 PM**

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
Shread-Kuyrkendall & Associates, Inc.	106.5	1
Lazenby & Associates	106.5	1
Sigma Consulting Group, Inc.	104.8	3
Forte & Tablada, Inc.	103.3	4
T. Baker Smith, LLC	101.6	5
Meyer Engineers, Ltd.	101.6	5
Aucoin & Associates, Inc.	101.2	7
Professional Engineering Consultants Corp.	100.5	8
C. H. Fenstermaker And Associates, Inc.	99.7	9
Richard C. Lambert Consultants, LLC	98.9	10
Aillet, Fenner, Jolly & McClelland, Inc.	98.7	10
CB&I Government Solutions, Inc.	98.6	12
Hartman Engineering, Inc.	98.1	13
ECM Consultants, Inc.	97.9	14
Meyer Meyer Lacroix & Hixson	94.2	15
Arcadis	93.9	16
Ballard CLC, Inc.	93.2	17
Volkert, Inc.	93.0	18
Principal Engineering, Inc.	92.7	19
Providence Engineering & Environmental Group, LLC	92.7	19
Stuart Consulting Group, Inc.	87.3	21

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings

Project: 4400009030

Date: 10/10/2016 Time: 3:05:38 PM

Firm Name	Work type	Per cent	Rem. \$1,000	Firm size	Compati bility	Firm Exp.	Staff Exp.	Location	Prev work**	Work load	Total Rating
(Weighting Factors)					3	3	4	4	6	5	
Aillet, Fenner, Jolly &	RX/LS	81	191	23	5 >	2.9	2.9	4.2	3.5	4.9	97.6
--sub--Coyle Engineering	LS	8	38	17	5 P	3.4	3.5	4.2	4.2	5	106.2
--sub--Half Associates,	LS	2	0	29	5 P	3.1	3.1	4.2	3.6 *	5	100.1
--sub--Neel-Schaffer, In	RX	9	2795	47	5 P	3.5	3.5	4.8	3.7	4.1	101.4
Arcadis	RX/LS	91	7296	84	3.8 >	3.4	3.6	4.8	3.5 *	3.3	92.7
--sub--SJB Group	LS	9	1277	40	3.8 P	3.8	3.9	4.8	4.3	4.6	106.4
Aucoin & Associates, Inc	RX/LS	89	420	22	4.6 <	3.6	3.6	5	3.3	4.8	102.8
--sub--Arcadis	RX	9	7296	55	4.6 P	3.6	3.7	4.8	3.5 *	1	84.6
--sub--SJB Group	LS	2	1277	40	4.6 P	3.3	3.4	4.8	4.3	4.6	105.3
Ballard CLC, Inc.	RX/LS	91	3	18	3.8 <	2.2	2.2	5	3.5 *	5	92.8
--sub--Cobb, Fendley & A	RX	6	142	4	3.8 P	3	3.3	4.8	3.5 *	4.6	96.8
--sub--Half Associates,	LS	2	0	29	3.8 P	3.1	3.1	4.2	3.6 *	5	96.5
--sub--Terracon Consulta	RX	1	81	94	3.8 P	3	3	4.8	3.5 *	5	97.6
CB&I Government Solution	RX	82	1579	31	4.8 >	3.0	3.2	4.8	3.5 *	4.3	97.9
--sub--Cardno, Inc.	LS	2	1686	123	4.8 P	3.2	3.4	4.8	3.6 *	4.9	102.9
--sub--GOTECH, Inc.	LS	8	1058	23	4.8 P	3.8	3.8	4.8	3.9	4.4	105.6
--sub--Gresham, Smith an	RX	8	410	8	4.8 P	2.8	3.3	4.8	3.5 *	4.3	97.7
C. H. Fenstermaker And A	RX/LS	82	52	28	4.9 >	3.1	3.0	4.9	3.2	5	99.8
--sub--Civil Design & Co	LS	8	140	14	4.9 P	3.3	3.4	4.9	3.2	4.9	101.5
--sub--Intelligent Trans	RX	8	312	7	4.9 P	2.7	2.8	4.8	3.5 *	4.4	96.2
--sub--Right of Way Serv	LS	1	0	6	4.9 P	3.1	3.1	4.9	3.6 *	5	102.6
--sub--SJB Group	LS	1	1277	40	4.9 P	3.3	3.4	4.8	4.3	4.6	106.2
ECM Consultants, Inc.	RX	81	608	23	5 >	2.6	2.8	4.8	3.5 *	4.7	97.7
--sub--Compliance Consul	RX	1	0	6	5 P	3	3.1	4.8	3.5 *	5	101.6
--sub--SJB Group	LS	10	1277	40	1 >	3.8	3.9	4.8	4.3	4.6	98.0
--sub--Urban Systems Assoc.	RX	8	1026	17	5 P	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.5 *	4.1	99.2
Forte & Tablada, Inc.	RX/LS	90	538	31	4.9 >	3	3.2	4.8	4	4.8	103.7
--sub--Cardno, Inc.	LS	2	1686	123	4.9 P	3.2	3.4	4.8	3.6 *	4.9	103.2
--sub--Urban Systems Assoc.	RX	8	1026	17	4.9 P	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.5 *	4.1	98.9
Hartman Engineering, Inc	RX	90	166	17	3.7 <	3.1	3.2	4.7	3.6	4.9	98.1
--sub--SJB Group	LS	10	1277	40	1 >	3.8	3.9	4.8	4.3	4.6	98.0
Lazenby & Associates	RX/LS	89	605	25	5 >	3.9	3.9	4.6	3.8	4.7	107.0
--sub--Neel-Schaffer, In	RX	9	2795	47	5 P	3.5	3.5	4.8	3.7	4.1	101.4
--sub--SJB Group	LS	2	1277	40	5 P	3.3	3.4	4.8	4.3	4.6	106.5
Meyer Engineers, Ltd.	RX/LS	83	360	22	4.8 <	3	3	4.2	4.1	4.8	100.8
--sub--Neel-Schaffer, In	RX	8	2795	47	4.8 P	3.5	3.5	4.8	3.7	4.1	100.8
--sub--SJB Group	LS	9	1277	40	4.8 P	3.8	3.9	4.8	4.3	4.6	109.4
Meyer Meyer Lacroix & Hi	RX/LS	89	607	40	4.7 >	2.8	2.8	5	2.8	4.9	95.0
--sub--Arcadis	RX	9	7296	55	4.7 P	3.6	3.7	4.8	3.5 *	1	84.9
--sub--Cardno, Inc.	LS	2	1686	123	4.7 P	3.2	3.4	4.8	3.6 *	4.9	102.6
Professional Engineering	RX/LS	80	187	42	4.6 >	3.1	3.1	4.8	3.5 *	5	100.7
--sub--Cardno, Inc.	LS	2	1686	123	4.6 P	3.2	3.4	4.8	3.6 *	4.9	102.3
--sub--Civil Design & Co	LS	8	140	14	4.6 P	3.3	3.4	4.9	3.2	4.9	100.6
--sub--C-K Associates, I	RX	1	156	84	4.6 P	3.0	3.0	4.8	3.5 *	5	100.0
--sub--Cultural Resource	RX	1	4	8	4.6 P	2.7	2.9	4.2	3.5 *	5	96.3
--sub--Urban Systems Assoc.	RX	8	1026	17	4.6 P	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.5 *	4.1	98.0
Principal Engineering, I	RX	72	0	13	3.6 <	2.4	2.5	4.3	3.5 *	5	91.2
--sub--SJB Group	LS	10	1277	40	1 >	3.8	3.9	4.8	4.3	4.6	98.0
--sub--Urban Systems Assoc.	RX	18	1026	17	3.8 >	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.5 *	4.1	95.6
Providence Engineering &	RX	60	1513	40	4.3 >	1.8	1.8	4.8	3.5 *	4.5	88.2
--sub--Cardno, Inc.	LS	2	1686	123	4.3 P	3.2	3.4	4.8	3.6 *	4.9	101.4
--sub--Civil Design & Co	LS	8	140	14	4.3 P	3.3	3.4	4.9	3.2	4.9	99.7
--sub--Gresham, Smith an	RX	30	410	9	5 <	2.9	3.3	4.8	3.5 *	4.4	99.1
Richard C. Lambert Consu	RX	84	92	26	4.9 >	3.1	3.1	4.3	3.5 *	5	99.6
--sub--Forte & Tablada,	LS	10	538	31	1 >	3.1	3.3	4.8	4	4.8	92.7
--sub--Vectura	RX	6	0	2	4.9 P	2.3	3.3	4.8	3.5 *	5	100.0

Shread-Kuyrkendall & Assoc.	RX	75	833	21	5 >	3.4	3.4	4.8	4.7	4.5	108.7	106.5
--sub--Buchart Horn, Inc	RX	7	748	15	5 P	3.2	3.5	4.8	3.6	4.3	100.9	
--sub--Neel-Schaffer, In	RX	8	2795	47	5 P	3.5	3.5	4.8	3.7	4.1	101.4	
--sub--SJB Group	LS	10	1277	40	1 >	3.8	3.9	4.8	4.3	4.6	98.0	
Sigma Consulting Group,	RX/LS	85	1380	31	4.8 >	4.2	4.2	4.8	3.6	4.4	106.6	104.8
--sub--Arcadis	RX	7	7296	55	4.8 P	3.6	3.7	4.8	3.5 *	1	85.2	
--sub--Lazenby & Associa	LS	3	605	25	4.8 P	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.7	110.9	
--sub--Professional Engi	LS	3	1420	30	4.8 P	3.7	3.7	4.9	3.6 *	4.4	103.5	
--sub--Surveying and Map	LS	2	0	45	4.8 P	2.6	2.7	2.5 ~	3.6 *	5	89.6	
Stuart Consulting Group,	RX/LS	92	0	22	4.4 <	1.5	1.6	4.2	3.5 *	5	86.9	87.3
--sub--ITS Regional, LLC	RX	8	148	11	4.4 P	2.2	2.3	4.2	3.5 *	4.9	91.3	
T. Baker Smith, LLC	RX/LS	87	1595	24	5 <	3.3	3.5	4.9	4	3.9	102.0	101.6
--sub--Gresham, Smith an	RX	9	410	9	5 P	2.8	3.3	4.8	3.5 *	4.4	98.8	
--sub--Urban Systems Assoc.	RX	4	1026	17	5 P	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.5 *	4.1	99.2	
Volkert, Inc.	RX	90	2536	32	4.9 >	2.5	2.8	4.8	3.5 *	3.6	91.6	93.0
--sub--Cardno, Inc.	LS	2	1686	123	4.9 P	3.2	3.4	4.8	3.6 *	4.9	103.2	
--sub--NTB Associates, I	LS	8	1202	34	4.9 P	3.6	3.7	4.9	3.8	4.6	105.7	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

* indicates the average previous work was assigned.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.

** RX (90%)/LS (10%)

~ means the distance to the job was entered.