

**LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings**

**Project: 44-11191**

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Rank</b>
GIS	108.96	1
Gresham Smith and Partners	107.44	2
Civil Design	106.00	3
Providence	101.26	4
GOTECH	90.83	5

## LADOTD Consultant Computed Ratings

Project: **44-11191**

Firm Name	Work Type	%	Remaining (\$1,000)	Firm Size	Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performance	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)		
(Weighting Factors)				3	3	4	6	6	5				
<b>Civil Design</b>	RX/LC/CM	100	106	16	3.95 >	2.66	2.82	4.82	3.55	*	4.94	106.00	106.00
<b>GIS</b>	RX/LC/CM	85	0	10	4.36 >	2.92	3.26	4.85	3.54	*	5.00	110.19	108.96
sub-T Baker Smith	RX/LC/CM	15	763	24	1.00 >	3.84	3.92	4.98	3.13		4.63	101.99	
<b>GOTECH</b>	RX/LC/CM	100	1,217	10	4.53 >	2.84	2.84	4.92	3.51	*	1.36	90.83	90.83
<b>Gresham Smith and Partners</b>	RX/LC/CM	91	1,024	14	4.01 >	3.58	3.74	4.91	3.53	*	3.74	107.07	107.44
sub-T Baker Smith	RX/LC/CM	9	763	24	4.01 P	3.84	3.92	5.00	3.13		4.63	111.17	
<b>Providence</b>	RX/LC/CM	100	1,032	31	2.50 >	3.02	2.88	4.85	3.51	*	4.60	101.26	101.26

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

\* indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.

Note: The scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/- 0.005.