

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings

Project: 44-7737

Firm Name	Work	Per	Rem.	Firm	Compatib ility	Firm	Staff	Location	Prev	Work	Firm's	Total Rating (if subs)
	type	cent	\$1,000	size		Exp.	Exp.		work	load	Rating	
(Weighting Factors)					3	3	4	4	6	5		
AECOM Technical Svcs	BZ	80	3,233	44	3.9 >	4.3	4.2	1.0	4.3	3.7	89.7	89.7
---sub COWI North America	BZ	10	0	5	4.0 >	3.6	3.5	1.0	3.4 *	5.0	86.2	
---sub Eustis Engineering	BZ	4	488	105	3.9 P	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.4 *	5.0	97.9	
---sub SJB Group	BZ	4	1,434	37	3.9 P	3.1	3.0	4.8	3.4 *	4.5	95.2	
---sub Marrero Couvillion	BZ	2	174	13	3.9 P	2.9	2.7	5.0	1.0	4.9	81.6	
HDR Engineering	BZ	79	0	27	4.5 >	3.6	3.7	1.0	3.4 *	5.0	88.4	89.2
---sub Huval & Assoc.	BZ	9	1,659	27	4.5 P	2.9	2.9	4.3	4.1	4.0	95.8	
---sub GeoEngineers, Inc.	BZ	6	308	44	4.5 P	3.1	3.1	4.8	3.4 *	4.9	99.5	
---sub Bridge Diagnostics	BZ	6	371	4	4.5 P	3.1	2.9	1.0	4.1	3.1	78.3	
Mott MacDonald	BZ	99	564	36	4.4 >	4.2	4.2	1.0	4.0	4.8	94.8	94.8
---sub APS Design and Test	BZ	1	776	17	4.4 P	2.9	2.8	4.8	3.4 *	4.4	94.6	
Parsons Brinckerhoff	BZ	91	313	38	4.2 >	3.8	3.8	1.0	3.4 *	4.9	88.4	88.4
---sub GEC, Inc.	BZ	9	12,652	136	4.2 P	2.3	2.3	4.8	4.2	3.1	88.4	
TRC Engineers, Inc.	BZ	51	2,188	26	4.0 >	2.9	3.0	4.8	3.8	3.4	91.6	87.3
---sub Gannett Fleming	BZ	47	939	20	4.2 >	3.4	3.4	1.0	3.4 *	4.4	82.7	
---sub Ardaman & Assoc.	BZ	2	1,798	69	4.0 P	3.2	3.2	4.8	1.8	4.7	88.0	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

* indicates the average previous work was assigned.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.