

**LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings**

**Project: 44-11871**

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>Design Engineering</b>	<b>120.88</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Richard C Lambert</b>	<b>119.06</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>ECM</b>	<b>115.37</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Meyer Engineers</b>	<b>115.35</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Linfield, Hunter &amp; Junius</b>	<b>110.78</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Providence</b>	<b>110.33</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>All South</b>	<b>106.67</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Stuart</b>	<b>104.45</b>	<b>8</b>

**LADOTD Consultant Computed Ratings**

**Project: 44-11871**

Firm Name	Work Type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	*Firm Size		Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	*Location	*Past Performance	*Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)		
(Weighting Factors)				3		3	4	6	6	5				
<b>All South</b>	CC/CF/CM	75	0	29	3.18	>	2.22	2.76	5.00	3.96	*	5.00	106.02	106.67
sub-The Beta Group	CC/CF/CM	25	125	76	1.00	>	3.72	3.82	5.00	4.04	*	4.99	108.59	
<b>Design Engineering</b>	CC/CF/CM	98	81	21	4.22	>	3.88	3.74	5.00	4.48	*	4.97	120.95	120.88
sub- Eustis	CC/CF/CM	2	701	98	4.22	P	3.84	3.64	5.00	4.00	*	4.94	117.42	
<b>ECM</b>	CC/CF/CM	97	468	31	3.59	>	3.96	3.82	5.00	3.86	*	4.85	115.31	115.37
sub-The Beta Group	CC/CF/CM	3	125	76	3.59	P	3.86	3.96	5.00	4.04	*	4.99	117.32	
<b>Linfield, Hunter &amp; Junius</b>	CC/CF/CM	91	40	21	4.12	>	2.56	2.84	5.00	3.98	*	4.98	110.20	110.78
sub-The Beta Group	CC/CF/CM	9	125	76	4.12	P	3.62	3.62	5.00	4.00	*	4.99	116.64	
<b>Meyer Engineers</b>	CC/CF/CM	96	1,095	20	4.25	>	3.76	3.50	5.00	4.36	*	4.20	115.18	115.35
sub-The Beta Group	CC/CF/CM	4	125	76	4.25	P	3.86	3.96	5.00	4.04	*	4.99	119.32	
<b>Providence</b>	CC/CF/CM	91	810	31	3.46	>	3.18	3.44	4.76	3.98	*	4.71	109.70	110.33
sub-The Beta Group	CC/CF/CM	9	125	76	3.46	P	3.86	3.96	5.00	3.98	*	4.99	116.63	
<b>Richard C Lambert</b>	CC/CF/CM	96	680	17	4.44	>	4.06	3.86	5.00	4.27	*	4.49	119.02	119.06
sub-The Beta Group	CC/CF/CM	4	125	76	4.44	P	4.06	3.86	5.00	4.04	*	4.99	120.09	
<b>Stuart</b>	CC/CF/CM	91	0	25	3.86	>	1.88	1.84	5.00	3.98	*	5.00	103.44	104.45
sub-The Beta Group	CC/CF/CM	9	125	76	3.86	P	3.46	3.46	5.00	4.04	*	4.99	114.94	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small. P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.

\* indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.

Note: The scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/- 0.005.