

DOTD Consultant Rating Tier I Rankings

44-17438

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	*	*
Atlas Technical Consultants, L.L.C.	*	*
Michael Baker International, Inc.	*	*
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	63.33	4
Volkert, Inc.	62.58	5

\* The top three (3) rated firms are listed in alphabetical order.  
The ratings for these firms range from 74.71 to 65.23.

DOTD Consultant Rating Calculations for Tier I for Contract Number(s):

44-17438

Project Magnitude:		Mega			Work Type(s):		EV,TM,BDS,RX,CM					Total Rating (Including Subs)
Firm Name	Prime or Sub	Firm Size Designation	Percent of Work	Remaining Work \$1,000	Past Performance	Firm Experience	Staff Experience	Firm Size	Work Load			
					7	4	5	1	2			
<b>***AECOM Technical Services, Inc.</b>		<b>Prime</b>										
Arcadis U.S., Inc.	sub											
Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	sub											
CDM Smith, Inc.	sub											
Gulf South Research Corporation	sub											
Locus, L.L.C.	sub											
Marmillion Gray Media, Inc.	sub											
SJB Group, L.L.C.	sub											
<b>***Atlas Technical Consultants, L.L.C.</b>		<b>Prime</b>										
Providence Engineering & Design, L.L.C.	sub											
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	sub											
CDM Smith, Inc.	sub											
Figg Bridge Engineers, Inc.	sub											
Shread-Kuyrkendall & Associates, Inc.	sub											
GOTECH, Inc.	sub											
GIS Engineering, L.L.C.	sub											
Quality Engineering & Surveying, L.L.C.	sub											
Franklin Associates, L.L.C.	sub											
Armeni Consulting Services, L.L.C.	sub											
<b>***Michael Baker International, Inc.</b>		<b>Prime</b>										
CSRS, Inc.	sub											
COWI North America, Inc.	sub											
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	sub											
CDM Smith, Inc.	sub											
Vectura Consulting Services, L.L.C.	sub											
Gulf South Research Corporation	sub											
GeoEngineers, Inc.	sub											
Civil Design & Construction, Inc.	sub											
Anastasia Brenan Communications Group, L.L.C.	sub											
<b>Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.</b>		<b>Prime</b>	Large	40.0	3,667	3.65		3.14	3.26	3	2.96	
HNTB Corporation	sub	Large	31.4	6,913	3.53	*	3.22	3.32	3	3	1.00	
CH Fenstermaker & Associates, L.L.C.	sub	Large	5.1	561	4.00		3.14	3.04	3	3	4.85	
Vectura Consulting Services, L.L.C.	sub	Micro	4.0	63	**	*	3.32	3.52	3	3	4.89	
Rannah (Marmillion) Gray Communications	sub	Micro	4.0	0	**	*	3.18	3.18	3	3	5.00	
Coastal Environments, Inc.	sub	Small	3.0	173	**		3.60	3.58	3	3	4.90	
ELOS Environmental, L.L.C.	sub	Small	3.0	67	**		2.90	2.98	3	3	5.00	
Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	sub	Medium	2.5	1,016	**		3.46	3.70	3	3	4.18	
Emergent Method, L.L.C.	sub	Micro	2.5	0	**	*	2.94	3.04	3	3	5.00	
Forte & Tablada, Inc.	sub	Medium	2.0	2,631	**		3.52	3.54	3	3	2.53	
The Seamen's Church Institute	sub	Micro	1.5	0	**	*	3.86	3.84	3	3	5.00	
James A. Richardson	sub	Micro	1.0	0	**	*	3.04	3.34	3	3	5.00	
team scores by criteria					3.62		3.20	3.30	3	3	2.84	
<b>Volkert, Inc.</b>		<b>Prime</b>	Mega	54.2	8,314	3.26	*	3.46	3.20	5	2.40	
Alliance Transportation Group, Inc.	sub	Mega	22.5	1,069	3.00	*	2.88	3.30	5	5	4.82	
T.Y. Lin International	sub	Large	15.0	57	1.80		3.70	3.86	5	5	5.00	
GIS Engineering, L.L.C.	sub	Small	3.5	92	**	*	2.16	2.66	5	5	5.00	
R. Christopher Goodwin & Associates, Inc.	sub	Small	2.1	0	**	*	2.94	3.18	5	5	5.00	
Eustis Engineering, L.L.C.	sub	Medium	1.0	62	**	*	3.48	3.50	5	5	5.00	
Arup USA, Inc.	sub	Mega	0.7	0	**	*	3.08	3.42	5	5	5.00	
T. Baker Smith, L.L.C.	sub	Medium	0.5	640	**		3.86	3.68	5	5	4.56	
Manchac Consulting Group, Inc.	sub	Medium	0.5	0	**	*	2.52	3.14	5	5	5.00	
team scores by criteria					2.96		3.30	3.31	5	5	3.55	

\* indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.

\*\* sub-consultant past performance was neutralized since doing 5% or less of the work.

Note: sub-consultants receive the same Firm Size score as the prime consultant for the same proposal.

Note: the scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/- 0.005.

\*\*\* Proceeding to the Tier II Evaluation