

**DOTD Consultant Rating Rankings**

**44-16477**

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Rank</b>
Aillet, Fenner, Jolly & McClelland, Inc.	64.84	1
ECM Consultants, Inc.	59.08	2
Linfield, Hunter & Junius, Inc.	56.81	3

## DOTD Consultant Rating Calculations for Contract Number(s):

44-16477

Project Magnitude: Medium			Work Type(s): FM, CM									
Firm Name	Prime or Sub	Firm Size Designation	Percent of Work	Remaining Work \$1,000	Past Performance		Firm Experience	Staff Experience	Firm Size	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (Including Subs)
					6	*	3	4	2	3		
<b>Aillet, Fenner, Jolly &amp; McClelland, Inc.</b>	<b>Prime</b>	Medium	89.2	71	2.17	*	3.82	3.88	5	5.00	65.01	64.84
APS Design & Testing, L.L.C.	Sub	Medium	10.8	206	2.07	*	3.58	3.84	5	5.00	63.50	
<b>ECM Consultants, Inc.</b>	<b>Prime</b>	Medium	89.2	1,911	2.17	*	3.62	3.76	5	3.26	58.71	59.08
APS Design & Testing, L.L.C.	Sub	Medium	10.8	206	2.07	*	3.38	3.64	5	5.00	62.10	
<b>Linfield, Hunter &amp; Junius, Inc.</b>	<b>Prime</b>	Small	80.2	0	2.18	*	3.36	3.30	3	5.00	57.38	56.81
Marrero, Couvillon & Associates, L.L.C.	Sub	Small	10.8	21	2.07	*	2.64	2.90	3	5.00	52.92	
Eustis Engineering, L.L.C.	Sub	Medium	9.0	1	2.07	*	3.30	3.28	3	5.00	56.42	

\* indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.

Note: sub-consultants receive the same Firm Size score as the prime consultant for the same proposal.

Note: the scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/- 0.005.