LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings
Project: 4400005982
Date: 6/23/2015 Time: 6:29:44 PM

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
Stanley Consultants, Inc.	**86.8**	**1**
Hartman Engineering, Inc.	**85.6**	**2**
Meyer Engineers, Ltd.	**84.9**	**3**
H. Davis Cole & Associates, ILLC	**84.9**	**3**
Design Engineering, Inc.	84.8	5
Rahman & Associates, Inc.	84.7	6
Aims Group, Inc.	82.8	7
Digital Engineering	82.7	8
Richard C. Lambert Consultants, LLC	82.2	9
ECM Consultants, Inc.	81.4	10
Professional Engineering Consultants Corp.	80.7	11
BAROWKA AND BONURA ENGINEERS AND CONSULTANTS	80.7	12
Stuart Consulting Group, Inc.	78.1	13

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings
Project: 4400005982
Date: 6/23/2015 Time: 6:29:44 PM

Firm Name	Work type	Per cent	Rem. $1,000	Firm size	Comp atib	Comp flag	Firm Exp.	Staff Exp.	Loca tion	Loc flag	Prev work	Prev flag	Work load	Total Rating	
(Weighting Factors)					3		3	4	6		6		5		
Aims Group, Inc.	RX	82	0	17	2	>	2.4	2.6	4		2.6	*	4	83.2	**82.8**
--sub--DUFRENE SURVEYING	RX	7	0	14	2	P	2.4	2.4	4		2.6	*	4	82.4	
--sub--Eustis Engineerin	RX	11	82	115	0	>	2.9	3	4		2.6	*	4	80.3	
BAROWKA AND BONURA ENGIN	RX	76	0	20	1.3	>	2.2	2.3	4		2.6	*	4	79.3	**80.7**
--sub--Eustis Engineerin	RX	9	82	115	1.3	P	2.9	3	4		2.6	*	4	84.2	
--sub--Shread-Kuyrkendal	RX	15	191	15	0	>	2.9	2.8	3.9		3.8		3.9	85.6	
Design Engineering, Inc.	RX	88	76	23	1.3	>	3	3.1	4		2.6	*	4	84.9	**84.8**
--sub--Eustis Engineerin	RX	4	82	115	1.3	P	2.9	3	4		2.6	*	4	84.2	
--sub--GOTECH, Inc.	RX	8	1207	59	1.3	P	3.2	3.2	3.9		2.6	*	3.8	84.3	
Digital Engineering	RX	80	317	20	1.4	>	3.2	3.3	4		2.2		3.8	83.2	**82.7**
--sub--Ardaman & Associa	RX	5	2882	74	1.4	P	3	2.9	3.9		2.6	*	3.5	81.3	
--sub--GOTECH, Inc.	RX	15	1207	59	0	>	3.2	3.2	3.9		2.6	*	3.8	80.4	
ECM Consultants, Inc.	RX	82	940	22	1.2	>	2.9	3.1	4		2.6	*	3.4	81.3	**81.4**
--sub--Eustis Engineerin	RX	3	82	115	1.2	P	2.9	3	4		2.6	*	4	83.9	
--sub--SJB Group	RX	15	1121	39	0	>	3.4	3.4	3.9		2.6	*	3.7	81.3	
Hartman Engineering, Inc	RX	82	552	13	2.6	>	2.9	3	3.9		3.1		3.4	87.5	**85.6**
--sub--APS Design and Te	RX	3	0	12	2.6	P	2.7	2.8	4		2.6	*	4	86.7	
--sub--Evans-Graves Engi	RX	15	1443	27	0	>	2.9	2.8	3.9		2.6	*	3.2	74.9	
H. Davis Cole & Associat	RX	85	0	9	3.2	>	2.1	2.3	4		2.6	*	4	84.7	**84.9**
--sub--Gulf South Engine	RX	5	0	22	3.2	P	2.5	2.7	4		2.6	*	4	87.5	
--sub--Shread-Kuyrkendal	RX	10	191	15	0	>	2.8	2.7	3.9		3.8		3.9	84.9	
Meyer Engineers, Ltd.	RX	80	964	22	1.1	>	3	2.9	4		3.5		3.4	85.9	**84.9**
--sub--GOTECH, Inc.	RX	15	1207	59	0	>	3.2	3.2	3.9		2.6	*	3.8	80.4	
--sub--Terracon Consulta	RX	5	150	75	1.1	P	3	3	3.9		2.6	*	4	83.3	
Professional Engineering	RX	81	305	23	1	>	2.9	2.8	3.9		2.6	*	3.9	81.4	**80.7**
--sub--APS Design and Te	RX	5	0	12	1	P	2.6	2.7	3.9		2.6	*	4	80.6	
--sub--Civil Design & Co	RX	14	648	15	0	>	2.9	3.1	3.8		2.6	*	3.4	76.5	
Rahman & Associates, Inc	RX	80	292	9	3.1	>	2.7	2.7	4		2.6	*	3.6	85.8	**84.7**
--sub--Eustis Engineerin	RX	5	82	115	3.1	P	2.8	2.9	4		2.6	*	4	88.9	
--sub--LandSource Inc	RX	15	11	18	0	>	2.6	2.7	3.9		2.6	*	4	77.6	
Richard C. Lambert Consu	RX	75	481	17	1.7	>	2.9	2.9	4		2.6	*	3.7	83.5	**82.2**
--sub--Forte & Tablada,	RX	10	1663	28	0	>	2.8	2.8	3.9		2.6	*	3.1	74.1	
--sub--Hatch Mott MacDo	RX	10	370	121	0	>	2.8	2.8	4		2.6	*	4	79.2	
--sub--Terracon Consulta	RX	5	150	75	1.7	P	3	3	3.9		2.6	*	4	85.1	
Stanley Consultants, Inc	RX	75	448	12	2.5	>	2.7	2.5	3.9		3.8		3.5	89.3	**86.8**
--sub--Civil Design & Co	RX	16	648	15	0	>	3	3.1	3.8		2.6	*	3.4	76.8	
--sub--Professional Engi	RX	9	0	7	2.5	P	2.4	2.4	4		2.6	*	4	83.9	
Stuart Consulting Group,	RX	80	0	23	1	>	2.2	2.3	4		2.6	*	4	78.4	**78.1**
--sub--BFM Corporation,	RX	10	0	28	0	>	2.4	2.4	4		2.6	*	4	76.4	
--sub--The Beta Group	RX	10	218	62	0	>	2.5	2.6	4		2.6	*	4	77.5	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.
* indicates the statewide average (as of 6/24/2015) of previous work was assigned.
P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.