

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION
TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

☒ Prime Consultant ☐ Sub Consultant

Consultant Name : _Consultant Example
Project Number : Rating Number : 1
P.O. / Contract :
Project Description : Consultant Rating Example Form.
Type of Work : Subsurface Utility Engineering Services
N/A
Subject Rated :
Comments : Example of the "Road Design - Subsurface Utility Engineering Services" Consultant Rating Form.
NOTE: Example Only - Questions Subject To Change.
NOTE: Contract Management Components Issued Only When Prime Consultants Are Selected For Rating.

Rating Score: **0.0**
Rating Score Summary:
Contract Management : 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)
Quality Level D Records Research : 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)
Quality Level C Above Ground Utility Survey : 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)
Quality Levels B & A Utility Designation and Excavation : 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)
Utility Coordination During Design : 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)
Utility Coordination During Construction : 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)

PERFORMANCE RATING SCALE

- 5 Outstanding Performance**
Consistently Exceeded Expectations
- 4 Above Satisfactory Performance**
Often Exceeded Expectations
- 3 Satisfactory Performance**
Met Expectations
- 2 Marginal Performance**
Occasionally Below Expectations
- 1 Unacceptable Performance**
Consistently Below Expectations

TOTAL RATED SCORE FOR ALL QUALITY CRITERIA

Note: An overall score of 3 is considered satisfactory performance. The maximum score attainable is 5.

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Administration of Contract		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Effectively and proactively controlled the Contract.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2	Administered the Contract in an organized manner and was proficient in applying administrative, procedural and technical skills to Contract.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3	Effectively coordinated with Department personnel to ensure effective Contract management, with required submittals made timely, in the subscribed format, with no material errors.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4	Submitted properly documented invoices; contract funds were tracked and reported as requested to avoid rush amendments, out-of-fund conditions or supplemental agreements	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5	Complied with established DBE commitment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6	FOR TASK DRIVEN CONTRACTS ONLY: Responded to the Department in a timely manner regarding tasks requests. For accepted tasks, promptly developed an understanding of the assignment, prepared and submitted an accurate time/fee package, and efficiently initiated the assignment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

Contract Management - Management of Issues and Resources		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Effectively resolved issues; made decisions based on solid logic and sufficient supporting detail.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2.	Effectively minimized the unnecessary involvement of Department staff.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3.	Effectively managed resources. Continuously provided experienced staff as proposed; was responsive to Department staffing requests; if personnel changes occurred, the credentials of replacement staff were equal to or exceeded the qualifications of the original staff approved, and Department approval was received.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4.	FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING PERMITS: Sufficiently identified, analyzed and verified that all permit conditions were addressed. Thoroughly documented and proactively worked to resolve permitting issues in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5.	FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING UTILITIES: Sufficiently verified that utilities were coordinated properly and shown in the plans/schedules including providing a summary of changes at subsequent phase submittals. ADDITIONALLY, FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING CONSULTANT UTILITY COORDINATION: succeeded in getting the utility agencies to accept the schedule, and consistently tracked and communicated with the utility companies so they complied with the schedules. Took appropriate action when schedules were not met.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION
TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

Instructions:
For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Communication, Documentation and Coordination		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Provided the necessary project information to the Department and all project stakeholders in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2	Scheduled, conducted and documented meetings in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3	Immediately notified the Department of issues impacting schedule and costs; acted proactively by working with various stakeholders to minimize impacts; and resolved issues in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4	Prepared thoroughly organized and completed project documentation including calculations, emails, memoranda, etc. and clear documentation of oral communications.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5	Effectively tracked and monitored comment resolution and other action items to ensure timely resolution.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6	Properly and efficiently logged, documented, tracked and took appropriate action on all public initiated inquiries from first contact through disposition or resolution.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

Contract Management - Execution of Work		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Ensured project schedule submittals were submitted and reviewed in accordance with the Contract.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2	Reviewed the schedule monthly or as appropriate with the Department. Took appropriate action to reallocate resources if the work items fell behind schedule in accordance with the critical path to minimize impact to the overall schedule.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3.	Consistently met project milestone dates within the overall project schedule	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4.	Provided project status updates in a timely manner	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5.	Effectively managed the budget and if applicable, was reasonable regarding claims for and negotiations of supplemental agreements.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6.	Developed a Quality Control/Quality Assurance Plan, and adhered to the plan throughout the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
7.	Successfully met the scope and objectives of the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Post-Design Activities		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Effectively and proactively controlled the contract, including submitting properly documented invoices and reports, and prompt execution of task authorizations as applicable; successfully met the scope and objectives of the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2	Minimized the unnecessary involvement of the Department; effectively managed resources, including providing appropriate staff.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3	Provided necessary project information in a timely manner; effectively tracked, monitored and documented actions taken during post-design activities; effectively communicated with the Department's construction support personnel during construction activities.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4	Resolved issues arising during construction in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5	Tracked, monitored and responded quickly and efficiently to shop drawing reviews and construction Requests for Information (RFI's).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Quality Level D Records Research	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1 The consultant dedicated sufficient resources to complete the work in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2 The consultant was responsive to the needs of the Department.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3 The consultant maintained sufficient communications through emails, conference calls and visits, as necessary, with Department personnel.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4 The consultant exhibited sufficient communications through emails, conference calls, and visits with the project surveyor, if applicable.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5 Overall, minimal/no corrections were required for the deliverable items.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6 The consultant demonstrated knowledge of the latest ASCE Standards (CI/ASCE 38-02) by collecting and reviewing available and applicable records to develop a comprehensive utility composite drawing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0.0					
Comments:						

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Quality Level C Above Ground Utility Survey	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1 The consultant dedicated sufficient resources to complete the work in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2 The consultant was responsive to the needs of the Department.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3 The consultant maintained sufficient communications through emails, conference calls and visits, as necessary, with Department personnel.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4 The consultant exhibited sufficient communications through emails, conference calls, and visits with the project surveyor, if applicable.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5 Overall, minimal/no corrections were required for the deliverable items.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6 The consultant demonstrated knowledge of the latest ASCE Standards (CI/ASCE 38-02) by collecting and reviewing available and applicable records to develop a comprehensive utility composite drawing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
7 The consultant applied DOTD Location and Survey procedures and required standard formats to develop a comprehensive utility composite drawing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0.0					
Comments:						

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Quality Levels B & A Utility Designation and Excavation	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1 The consultant dedicated sufficient resources to complete the work in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2 The consultant was responsive to the needs of the Department.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3 The consultant maintained sufficient communications through emails, conference calls and visits, as necessary, with Department personnel.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4 The consultant exhibited sufficient communications through emails, conference calls, and visits with the project surveyor, if applicable.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5 Overall, minimal/no corrections were required for the deliverable items.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6 The consultant demonstrated knowledge of the latest ASCE Standards for Subsurface Utility Engineering (CI/ASCE 38-02) by collecting and reviewing available and applicable records to develop a comprehensive utility composite drawing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
7 The consultant applied DOTD Location and Survey procedures and required standard formats to develop a comprehensive utility composite drawing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
8 The consultant demonstrated knowledge of the latest ASCE Standards for Subsurface Utility Engineering (CI/ASCE 38-02) by accurately interpreting the surface geophysics and applying the appropriate suite of surface methods to detect all utilities affected by the project; Field designation is accurately depicted on cad drawings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
9 The consultant demonstrated knowledge of the latest ASCE Standards for Subsurface Utility Engineering (CI/ASCE 38-02) by excavating test holes to expose affected utilities while maintaining the integrity of the utilities; Determine the horizontal and vertical location of the utilities exposed as well as the physical details (elevation, outside diameter, material, soil type) surrounding utilities at each test hole.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0.0					
Comments:						

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Utility Coordination during Design	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1 The consultant dedicated sufficient resources to complete the work in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2 The consultant was responsive to the needs of the Department.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3 The consultant maintained sufficient communications through emails, conference calls and visits, as necessary, with Department personnel.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4 The consultant exhibited sufficient communications through emails, conference calls, and visits with the project surveyor, if applicable.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5 Overall, minimal/no corrections were required for the deliverable items.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6 The consultant demonstrated knowledge of the latest ASCE Standards (CI/ASCE 38-02) by collecting and reviewing available and applicable records to develop a comprehensive utility composite drawing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
7 The consultant conducted utility coordination meetings, providing conflict assessment of all utilities, developing alternative's to avoid conflicts, determining cost estimates and assisting in the utility design and relocation. One or more of these meetings included DOTD design personnel (DOTD road design, bridge design, district design, or consulting design engineer).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
8 The consultant produces a conflict matrix that includes all utilities and conflicts with other utilities as well as road construction plans. (Design)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0.0					
Comments:						

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Subsurface Utility Engineering Services

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Utility Coordination during Construction	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1 The consultant dedicated sufficient resources to complete the work in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2 The consultant was responsive to the needs of the Department.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3 The consultant maintained sufficient communications through emails, conference calls and visits, as necessary, with Department personnel.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4 The consultant exhibited sufficient communications through emails, conference calls, and visits with the project surveyor, if applicable.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5 Overall, minimal/no corrections were required for the deliverable items.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6 The consultant demonstrated knowledge of the latest ASCE Standards (CI/ASCE 38-02) by collecting and reviewing available and applicable records to develop a comprehensive utility composite drawing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
7 The consultant conducted utility coordination meetings, providing conflict assessment of all utilities, developing alternative's to avoid conflicts, determining cost estimates and assisting in the utility design and relocation. One or more of these meetings included the project engineer and the contractor for the construction phase after relocation.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
8 The consultant produces composite utility drawings of relocated utilities that depict updated locations of utilities relative to road construction plans (Construction only)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0.0					
Comments:						