

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION**TYPE OF WORK: Traffic Engineering - Design**

Prime Consultant Sub Consultant

Consultant Name : _Consultant Example

Project Number : Rating Number : 1

P.O. / Contract :

Project Description : Consultant Rating Example Form.

Type of Work : Traffic Engineering - Design
N/A

Subject Rated :

Comments : Example of the "Traffic Engineering - Design" Consultant Rating Form.

NOTE: Example Only - Questions Subject To Change.

NOTE: Contract Management Components Issued Only When Prime Consultants Are Selected For Rating.

Rating Score: **0.0**

Rating Score Summary:

Contract Management : 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)

Traffic : 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)

PERFORMANCE RATING SCALE

- 5 Outstanding Performance**
Consistently Exceeded Expectations
- 4 Above Satisfactory Performance**
Often Exceeded Expectations
- 3 Satisfactory Performance**
Met Expectations
- 2 Marginal Performance**
Occasionally Below Expectations
- 1 Unacceptable Performance**
Consistently Below Expectations

TOTAL RATED SCORE FOR ALL QUALITY CRITERIA

Note: An overall score of 3 is considered satisfactory performance. The maximum score attainable is 5.

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION
TYPE OF WORK: Traffic Engineering - Design

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Administration of Contract		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Effectively and proactively controlled the Contract.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2	Administered the Contract in an organized manner and was proficient in applying administrative, procedural and technical skills to Contract.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3	Effectively coordinated with Department personnel to ensure effective Contract management, with required submittals made timely, in the subscribed format, with no material errors.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4	Submitted properly documented invoices; contract funds were tracked and reported as requested to avoid rush amendments, out-of-fund conditions or supplemental agreements	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5	Complied with established DBE commitment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6	FOR TASK DRIVEN CONTRACTS ONLY: Responded to the Department in a timely manner regarding tasks requests. For accepted tasks, promptly developed an understanding of the assignment, prepared and submitted an accurate time/fee package, and efficiently initiated the assignment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

Contract Management - Management of Issues and Resources		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Effectively resolved issues; made decisions based on solid logic and sufficient supporting detail.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2.	Effectively minimized the unnecessary involvement of Department staff.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3.	Effectively managed resources. Continuously provided experienced staff as proposed; was responsive to Department staffing requests; if personnel changes occurred, the credentials of replacement staff were equal to or exceeded the qualifications of the original staff approved, and Department approval was received.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4.	FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING PERMITS: Sufficiently identified, analyzed and verified that all permit conditions were addressed. Thoroughly documented and proactively worked to resolve permitting issues in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5.	FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING UTILITIES: Sufficiently verified that utilities were coordinated properly and shown in the plans/schedules including providing a summary of changes at subsequent phase submittals. ADDITIONALLY, FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING CONSULTANT UTILITY COORDINATION: succeeded in getting the utility agencies to accept the schedule, and consistently tracked and communicated with the utility companies so they complied with the schedules. Took appropriate action when schedules were not met.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Traffic Engineering - Design

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Communication, Documentation and Coordination		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Provided the necessary project information to the Department and all project stakeholders in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2	Scheduled, conducted and documented meetings in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3	Immediately notified the Department of issues impacting schedule and costs; acted proactively by working with various stakeholders to minimize impacts; and resolved issues in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4	Prepared thoroughly organized and completed project documentation including calculations, emails, memoranda, etc. and clear documentation of oral communications.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5	Effectively tracked and monitored comment resolution and other action items to ensure timely resolution.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6	Properly and efficiently logged, documented, tracked and took appropriate action on all public initiated inquiries from first contact through disposition or resolution.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

Contract Management - Execution of Work		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Ensured project schedule submittals were submitted and reviewed in accordance with the Contract.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2	Reviewed the schedule monthly or as appropriate with the Department. Took appropriate action to reallocate resources if the work items fell behind schedule in accordance with the critical path to minimize impact to the overall schedule.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3.	Consistently met project milestone dates within the overall project schedule	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4.	Provided project status updates in a timely manner	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5.	Effectively managed the budget and if applicable, was reasonable regarding claims for and negotiations of supplemental agreements.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6.	Developed a Quality Control/Quality Assurance Plan, and adhered to the plan throughout the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
7.	Successfully met the scope and objectives of the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Traffic Engineering - Design

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Post-Design Activities	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1 Effectively and proactively controlled the contract, including submitting properly documented invoices and reports, and prompt execution of task authorizations as applicable; successfully met the scope and objectives of the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2 Minimized the unnecessary involvement of the Department; effectively managed resources, including providing appropriate staff.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3 Provided necessary project information in a timely manner; effectively tracked, monitored and documented actions taken during post-design activities; effectively communicated with the Department's construction support personnel during construction activities.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4 Resolved issues arising during construction in a timely manner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5 Tracked, monitored and responded quickly and efficiently to shop drawing reviews and construction Requests for Information (RFI's).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0.0					
Comments:						

Contract Management Section Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0.0
---	------------

EXAMPLE ONLY

Questions Subject To Change

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Traffic Engineering - Design

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale : 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Traffic		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Have plan formats and correct forms been applied to the project Development process in accordance with DOTD Traffic Engineering policy?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
2	Has the QA/QC process for review submittals demonstrated understanding of DOTD Traffic Engineering policy?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
3	Have comments from review submittals been addressed to the satisfaction of the DOTD Traffic Engineering Division Representative?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
4	Has communication with the Traffic Engineering Division Representative been timely and pertinent during the plan development process?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
5	Are the plans easily readable, neat, and use appropriate symbols?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
6	Have all Traffic Engineering items been specified correctly in plans and have all standard plans and special details been accounted for?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)		0.0					
Comments:							

EXAMPLE

Questions Subject To Change