TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Prime Consultant Sub Consultant

Consultant Name : _Consultant Example

Project Number : Rating Number : 1

P.O. / Contract:

Project Description: Consultant Rating Example Form.

Type of Work: Construction – CE and I

N/A

Subject Rated:

Comments: Example of the "Construction – CE & I" Consultant Rating Form.

NOTE: Example Only - Questions Subject To Change.

NOTE: Contract Management Components Issued Only When Prime Consultants Are

Selected For Rating.

Rating Score: 0

0.0

Rating Score Summary:

Contract Management: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)
Management of CCEI Contract: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)
Issue Identification and Resolution: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)
Communication and Coordination: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)

Project Documentation: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)

Effective Administration of the Construction Contract: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)

Permit Monitoring: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)

Proactive in Regard To Public Concerns: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section) Effect on Construction Cost and Time: 0.0 (No Criteria Rated In This Section)

PERFORMANCE RATING SCALE

5 Outstanding Performance

Consistently Exceeded Expectations

4 Above Satisfactory Performance

Often Exceeded Expectations

3 Satisfactory Performance

Met Expectations

2 Marginal Performance

Occasionally Below Expectations

1 Unacceptable Performance

Consistently Below Expectations

TOTAL RATED SCORE FOR ALL QUALITY CRITERIA

Note: An overall score of 3 is considered satisfactory performance. The maximum score attainable is 5.

2 3 4 5 N/A

0.0

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION

TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Administration of Contract

00000 Effectively and proactively controlled the Contract. 2 Administered the Contract in an organized manner and was proficient in applying 00000 administrative, procedural and technical skills to Contract. Effectively coordinated with Department personnel to ensure effective Contract 0000 management, with required submittals made timely, in the subscribed format, with no material errors. Submitted properly documented invoices; contract funds were tracked and reported as requested to avoid rush amendments, out-of-fund conditions or supplemental agreements 5 Complied with established DBE commitment 6 FOR TASK DRIVEN CONTRACTS ONLY: Responded to the Department in a timely manner regarding tasks requests. For accepted tasks, promptly developed an understanding of the assignment, prepared and submitted an accurate time/fee package, and efficiently initiated the assignment Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) 0.0 Comments: **Contract Management - Management of Issues and Resources** 2 3 4 5 N/A Effectively resolved issues; made decisions based on solid logic and sufficient 1 0000 supporting detail. Effectively minimized the unnecessary involvement of Department staff. 00000 3. Effectively managed resources. Continuously provided experienced staff as proposed; 00000 was responsive to Department staffing requests; if personnel changes occurred, the credentials of replacement staff were equal to or exceeded the qualifications of the original staff approved, and Department approval was received. 4. FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING PERMITS: Sufficiently identified, analyzed and verified that all permit conditions were addressed. Thoroughly documented and proactively worked to resolve permitting issues in a timely manner. 5. FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING UTILITIES: Sufficiently verified that utilities were coordinated properly and shown in the plans/schedules including providing a summary of changes at subsequent phase submittals. ADDITIONALLY, FOR PROJECTS INVOLVING CONSULTANT UTILITY COORDINATION: succeeded in getting the utility

agencies to accept the schedule, and consistently tracked and communicated with the utility companies so they complied with the schedules. Took appropriate action

when schedules were not met.

Comments:

Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)

TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Communication, Documentation and Coordination 1 2 3 4 5 N/A Provided the necessary project information to the Department and all project stakeholders in a timely manner. 2 Scheduled, conducted and documented meetings in a timely manner. Immediately notified the Department of issues impacting schedule and costs; acted 3 proactively by working with various stakeholders to minimize impacts; and resolved issues in a timely manner. Prepared thoroughly organized and completed project documentation including calculations, emails, memoranda, etc. and clear documentation of oral communications. 5 Effectively tracked and monitored comment resolution and other action items to ensure timely resolution. 6 Properly and efficiently logged, documented, tracked and took appropriate action on all public initiated inquiries from first contact through disposition or resolution. 0.0 Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) **Comments:**

Contract Management - Ex	recution of Work		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1 Ensured project schedu with the Contract.	lle submittals were submitted and reviewed in accorda	nce	0	0	0	0	0	0
appropriate action to re	monthly or as appropriate with the Department. Took eallocate resources if the work items fell behind scheduitical path to minimize impact to the overall schedule.		0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Consistently met projec	ct milestone dates within the overall project schedule		0	0	0	0	0	0
^{1.} Provided project status	updates in a timely manner		0	0	0	0	0	0
	e budget and if applicable, was reasonable regarding classifiers.	aims	0	0	0	0	0	0
Developed a Quality Co throughout the project.	ontrol/Quality Assurance Plan, and adhered to the plan		0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Successfully met the sc	ope and objectives of the project.		0	0	0	0	0	0
	e / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0	.0					

TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Contract Management - Post-Design Activities 2 3 4 5 N/A Effectively and proactively controlled the contract, including submitting properly documented invoices and reports, and prompt execution of task authorizations as applicable; successfully met the scope and objectives of the project. 2 Minimized the unnecessary involvement of the Department; effectively managed resources, including providing appropriate staff. 3 Provided necessary project information in a timely manner; effectively tracked, monitored and documented actions taken during post-design activities; effectively communicated with the Department's construction support personnel during construction activities. 4 Resolved issues arising during construction in a timely manner. 5 Tracked, monitored and responded quickly and efficiently to shop drawing reviews and construction Requests for Information (RFI's). Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) 0.0 Comments:

Contract Management Section Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)

0.0

TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Management of CCEI Contract 2 3 4 5 N/A Continuously provided CTQP qualified, experienced staff as proposed; was responsive to 0 0 0 0 0 Department staffing requests; if personnel changes occurred, reasonable efforts were made so that credentials of replacement staff were equal to or exceeded the qualifications of the person(s) originally approved. 2 Coordinated with department personnel to ensure effective contract management, with required submittals made timely, in the subscribed format, with no material errors. Userids requested promptly and access maintained; timesheets signed weekly, if required; properly documented invoices submitted monthly; sub-consultants paid promptly; contract funds were tracked and reported as requested to avoid rush amendments; out-of-fund conditions, or settlement agreements. Communicated regularly with the Department project administrator and performed QC/QA inspection of staff on longer assignments to ensure the Department needs were being met. Utilized CEI personal protective equipment at all times as required. Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) 0.0



TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

Comments:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Issue Identification and Resolution 1 2 3 4 5 N/A Acted proactively when identifying issues by working with various stakeholders including utilities, cities, counties and the contractor so as to minimize time and cost overruns. 2 Conducted thorough analysis of issues including a review of variuos alternative solutions with enough detail and back-up to show logic behind alternatives. Provided documentation for time and cost impacts as required. Made decisions appropriate with authority and based these decisions on solid logic and enough supporting detail to demonstrate a well-researched effort. Decisions/recommendations consistent with the analysis. Resolved issues in a timely manner so as to eliminate and/or minimize time and cost impacts to the project. Escalated issues to the Department only when efforts towards resoultion had reached an impasse, the issues were beyond the consultant's authority, or when project delays could result. Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) 0.0

CONSULTANT TECHNICAL EVALUATION TYPE OF WORK: Construction – CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

1	2	3	4	5	N/A
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	•
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	•
0.0					
	0.0	1 2	1 2 3	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4 5

TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Project Documentation 1 Kept Monthly and Final estimates up to date as the project progressed. 2 Tracked and monitored RFI's, shop drawings, contract changes, and other action items to achieve timely resolution. 3 Prepared and organized thorough and complete project documentation including a clear filing system, Daily diaries, claims documentation, Compliance monitoring and documentation, weather letters, and other project records. 4 Input information and documentation into Site Manager, EDMS, and CQR/LIMS in a timely manner. Maintained field books, if required, daily. Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) Comments:

TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Effective Administration of the Construction Contract 1 2 3 4 5 N/A Administered the contract according to the plans, specifications and Contract provisions. Provide clear and timely interpretation of contract documents to Contractor. 2 Reviewed, approved, and monitored the contractor's QC plan. Took action when necessary. Verified that materials used by the Contractor substantially conformed to plans, specifications and contract provisions. 3 Provided daily inspection and testing services as required by the contract and shared results with the contractor. Reviewed Contractor's QC results, compared to VT results and took appropriate actions. 4 Effectively and accurately administered the CPPR to hold the Contractor accountable for performance. Explained criteria at the pre-construction conference, discussed at the progress meetings and maintained accurate records. Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) 0.0



TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Permit Monitoring 1 Monitored, verified and documented that all permit conditions were met. 2 Monitored and verified the Contractor's submittals were prepared and submitted in accordance with the contract documents / permits. 3 Documented and proactively worked with the Contractor, EOR adn Permit Agencies to resolve environmental issues in a timely manner. Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) Comments:

TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Pr	oactive in Regard to Public Concerns	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1	Provided current updates and advance notification of traffic shifts, durations and impacts to residencies, businesses, emergency services and other entities along the project right-of-way.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Prepared, maintained and distributed an emergency contact list of key Department, Consultant, and Contractor personnel, and used is appropriately	0	0	0	0	0	•
3	Logged, documented, tracked and took appropriiate action on all public initiated inquiries from first contact through disposition or resolution.	0	0	0	0	0	•
4	Coordinated with the District Public Information Office, providing relevant data as requested for newsletters, media releases, public meetings, brochures, etc.	0	0	0	0	0	•
5	Monitored that business and pedestrian accesses were maintained and the signage was placed in accordance with all governing documents, and took appropriate action when necessary.	Į°	0	0	0	0	•
6	Verified Contractor adhered to TC Details.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Α۱	verage Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated)	0.0					
Co	omments:						

TYPE OF WORK: Construction - CE & I

Instructions:

For each numbered item below, please select a score from 1 to 5 in accordance with the performance rating scale. Select N/A if the item is not applicable. Comments must be entered for ratings of 1 through 5.

Rating Scale: 1 - Unsatisfactory, 2 - Marginal, 3 - Satisfactory, 4 - Above Satisfactory, 5 - Outstanding, 0 - N/A

Effect on Construction Cost and Time 1 Schedule submittals were reviewed in accordance with specifications and the Contract. Reviewed the schedule monthly, met with the Contractor monthly to discuss the schedule, and took appropirate action when construction fell behind schedule in accordance with the critical path to minimize delay to the project. 2 Verified the utility relocations were properly shown in the schedule, attempted to get the utility companies to buy into the schedule and consistently tracked and communicated with utilities to ensure that the utility companies complied with their schedules. Took appropirate actions when schedules were not met. 3 When warranted, withhold estimates and issue verbal warnings, deficiency warning letters, and deficiency letters as appropriate. Average Score (Total Score / Number of Sub-Criteria Rated) Comments: