## **LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings**

Project:

44-12324

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
Digital Engineering	116.65	1
Meyer Engineers, LTD	115.94	2
Design Engineering	115.86	3
Linfield, Hunter & Junius, Inc.	110.43	4
Providence Engineering	108.81	5
Gotech, Inc.	105.93	6

## LADOTD Consultant Computed Ratings Project: 44-12324

Firm Name	Work Type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	Firm Size			Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performance	e v	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)
(Weighting Factors)					3		3	4	6	6		5		
Providence Engineering	CC/CF/CM	100	778	31	3.21	>	3.00	3.46	4.87	3.9199	*	4.73	108.81	108.81
Linfield, Hunter & Junius, Inc.	CC/CF/CM	91	40	21	3.79	>	3.00	2.96	5.00	3.9185	*	4.98	110.65	110.43
Greenman Pederson, Inc.	CC/CF/CM	9	2,606	42	3.79	Р	2.92	2.92	4.92	4.4463	*	4.03	108.20	
Gotech, Inc.	CC/CF/CM	100	2,058	43	2.32	>	3.48	3.42	4.93	3.9199	*	4.35	105.93	105.93
Digital Engineering	CC/CF/CM	91	576	20	3.87	>	4.20	4.22	5.00	3.6955	*	4.67	116.64	116.65
The Beta Group	CC/CF/CM	9	109	76	3.87	Р	3.76	3.82	5.00	3.9339	*	4.99	116.73	
Design Engineering	CC/CF/CM	100	51	22	3.87	>	3.78	3.78	5.00	3.8132	*	4.98	115.86	115.86
Meyer Engineers, LTD	CC/CF/CM	100	900	20	4.02	>	3.98	3.48	5.00	4.3344	*	4.40	115.94	115.94

<sup>&</sup>gt; indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small. P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.

Note: The scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on  $8 \frac{1}{2} \times 11$  paper. The actual values may vary by as much as  $\frac{+}{-} 0.005$ .

<sup>\*</sup> indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{\mathsf{P}}$  indicates the sub was assigned the same Firm Size score as the prime.