

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Compute Ratings

Project: 4400007175

Date: 4/11/2016

Firm Name	Work type	Per cent	Rem. \$1,000	Firm size	Comp atib	Comp flag	Firm Exp.	Staff Exp.	Loca tion	Loc flag	Prev work	Prev flag	Work load	Total Rating	Team Scores
(Weighting Factors)					3		3	4	4		6		5		
AECOM Technical Services	60% EV/40% TM	57	5726	42	2.5	>	3	3	3.7		3.8		0	66.3	71.4
--sub--The Hawthorne Age	60% EV/40% TM	5	281	4	2.5	P	3.1	3.1	3.7		4.1	*	2.8	82.8	
--sub--SJB Group	60% EV/40% TM	3	610	40	2.5	P	3	3.5	4		4.1	*	3.8	90.3	
--sub--Urban Systems Ass	60% EV/40% TM	35	1288	16	2.4	>	2.5	2.6	3.7		4.0		2.5	76.4	
Arcadis	60% EV/40% TM	85	4582	67	2.4	>	3.3	3.3	4		4.1		2.9	85.2	85.5
--sub--Anastasia Brennan	60% EV/40% TM	3	277	8	2.4	P	2.4	2.6	1.7	~	4.1	*	3.6	74.4	
--sub--Coastal Environme	60% EV/40% TM	9	608	29	2.4	P	3.5	3.5	4		4.2		3.8	91.7	
--sub--Rahman & Associat	60% EV/40% TM	1	427	8	2.4	P	2.6	2.6	3.8		4.1	*	3.2	81.4	
--sub--SJB Group	60% EV/40% TM	2	610	40	2.4	P	3	3.5	4		4.1	*	3.8	90.0	
CB&I Government Solution	60% EV/40% TM	54	1375	36	2.7	>	2.4	2.6	4		4.1	*	3.5	84.0	81.5
--sub--CSRS, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	3	110	42	2.7	P	2.6	3	4		4.1	*	4	88.7	
--sub--Earth Search, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	3	374	21	2.7	P	3.5	3.4	3.7		3.8		3.8	88.8	
--sub--Urban Systems Ass	60% EV/40% TM	40	1288	16	2.6	>	2.5	2.6	3.7		4.0		2.5	77.0	
C. H. Fenstermaker And A	60% EV/40% TM	49	87	28	3	>	2.1	2.1	4		4.1		4	84.5	82.6
--sub--Bowly & Associat	60% EV/40% TM	3	29	7	3	P	3.6	3.6	0	~	4.1	*	4	79.0	
--sub--CSRS, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	1	110	42	3	P	2.5	2.8	4		4.1	*	4	88.5	
--sub--Earth Search, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	3	374	21	3	P	3.5	3.4	3.7		3.8		3.8	89.7	
--sub--H & H Environment	60% EV/40% TM	1	0	1	3	P	2.2	2.4	3.9		4.1	*	4	85.6	
--sub--Huval & Associate	60% EV/40% TM	3	1176	26	3	P	3.3	3.3	4		4.1	*	3.4	89.9	
--sub--Neel-Schaffer, In	60% EV/40% TM	37	3484	47	1.1	>	3.3	3.3	4		4.0		2.7	79.9	
--sub--Reliable Traffic	60% EV/40% TM	3	310	13	3	P	2.9	2.9	0	~	4.0		3.7	71.8	
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	60% EV/40% TM	71	3484	50	2.6	>	2.9	2.8	4		4.0		2.8	81.7	81.4
--sub--Bowly & Associat	60% EV/40% TM	4	29	7	2.6	P	3.6	3.6	0	~	4.1	*	4	77.8	
--sub--C. H. Fenstermake	60% EV/40% TM	9	87	28	2.6	P	2.4	2.3	4		4.2		4	85.1	
--sub--CSRS, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	3	110	42	2.6	P	2.7	3	4		4.1	*	4	88.7	
--sub--Earth Search, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	4	374	21	2.6	P	3.5	3.4	3.7		3.8		3.8	88.5	
--sub--Modjeski & Master	60% EV/40% TM	5	9789	36	2.6	P	3.6	3.6	3.7		4.1	*	0	72.6	
--sub--Reliable Traffic	60% EV/40% TM	4	310	13	2.6	P	2.9	2.9	0	~	4.0		3.7	70.6	
Providence Engineering &	60% EV/40% TM	53	1818	40	2.5	>	2.8	2.9	4		4.0		3.4	84.5	80.6
--sub--TRC Engineers, In	60% EV/40% TM	7	1072	34	2.5	P	3	3	4		4.1	*	3.6	87.3	
--sub--VECTURA	60% EV/40% TM	40	0	2	1.1	<	1.2	2.4	4		3.6	*	4	74.3	
Stanley Consultants, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	47	130	13	3.8	<	1.8	2.2	4		4.1	*	3.9	85.5	84.1
--sub--CSRS, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	2	110	42	3.8	P	2.4	3	4		4.1	*	4	91.4	
--sub--Earth Search, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	3	374	21	3.8	P	3.5	3.4	3.7		3.8		3.8	92.1	
--sub--Evans-Graves Engi	60% EV/40% TM	3	2146	27	3.8	P	2.7	2.7	4		4.1	*	2.5	83.6	
--sub--Gresham, Smith an	60% EV/40% TM	34	628	9	3.8	<	2	2.4	4		3.7	*	2.8	79.0	
--sub--Quality Counts, L	60% EV/40% TM	2	78	6	3.8	P	2	2	4		3.6	*	3.9	82.7	
--sub--T.Baker Smith, LL	60% EV/40% TM	9	341	24	3.8	P	3.1	3.1	4		4.0		3.9	92.6	
Tetra Tech, Inc.	60% EV/40% TM	48	10	30	2.8	>	2.7	2.8	0	~	4.2		4	72.9	79.5
--sub--Earth Search, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	2	374	21	2.8	P	3.5	3.4	3.7		3.8		3.8	89.1	
--sub--Sigma Consulting	60% EV/40% TM	38	562	33	2.2	>	3	3	4		4.5		3.8	89.6	
--sub--Urban Systems Ass	60% EV/40% TM	12	1288	16	1	>	2.5	2.7	3.7		4.0		2.5	72.6	
Volkert, Inc.	60% EV/40% TM	55	3542	37	2.7	>	1.9	1.8	4		4.6		1.9	74.1	78.5
--sub--CSRS, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	1	110	42	2.7	P	2.6	3	4		4.1	*	4	88.7	
--sub--Earth Search, Inc	60% EV/40% TM	8	374	21	2.7	P	3.5	3.4	3.7		3.8		3.8	88.8	
--sub--Hatch Mott MacDon	60% EV/40% TM	3	6	138	2.7	P	2	2.4	3.7		4.1	*	4	83.3	
--sub--Quality Counts, L	60% EV/40% TM	4	78	6	2.7	P	1.9	1.9	4		3.6	*	3.9	78.7	
--sub--T.Baker Smith, LL	60% EV/40% TM	9	341	24	2.7	P	3	3	4		4.0		3.9	88.6	
--sub--Urban Systems Ass	60% EV/40% TM	20	1288	16	2.4	>	2.5	2.7	3.7		4.0		3.3	80.8	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

* indicates the average previous work was assigned for both EV and TM rating categories

P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime.

~ means the distance to the job was entered.

Note: Previous work ratings on 1 - 5 scale. All other rating factors on 0 - 4 scale.