

LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings

Project: 44-10586 & 44-10587

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
SJB	114.6	1
Forte & Tablada	109.1	2
NTB	108.6	3
T Baker Smith	96.1	4
PENSCO	95.4	5
Sigma	94.1	6
Evans Graves	91.8	7
CH Fenstermaker	91.0	8
Aucoin	90.4	9
Linfield Hunter & Junius	88.8	10
Chustz	87.7	11
Providence	87.2	12
Gandolfo Kuhn	86.6	13
All South	85.3	14
Tice Engineering, Inc.	84.1	15
GOTECH	84.1	16
Civil Design & Construction, Inc.	81.6	17

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Computed Ratings
Project: 44-10586 & 44-10587

Firm Name	Work Type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	Firm Size		Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performance		Work Load	Firm's Rating
(Weighting Factors)				3		3	4	4	6	5		
All South	LC	100	0	13	4.1 <	1.3	1.3	4.4	3.6	*	5.0	85.3
Aucoin	LC	100	433	22	4.8 >	2.7	2.7	5.0	2.2		4.8	90.4
Chustz	LC	100	515	38	4.4 >	1.3	1.3	5.0	3.6	*	4.9	87.7
CH Fenstermaker	LC	100	1406	28	4.7 >	2.0	2.0	5.0	3.6	*	4.3	91.0
Civil Design & Construction, Inc.	LC	100	87100	16	4.8 <	2.9	2.9	5.0	3.6		1.0	81.6
Evans Graves	LC	100	1485	31	4.6 >	2.3	2.1	4.9	3.6	*	4.3	91.8
Forte & Tablada	LC	100	795	33	4.5 >	4.0	4.1	4.9	4.0		4.7	109.1
Gandolfo Kuhn	LC	100	0	12	3.9 <	1.6	1.6	4.4	3.6	*	5.0	86.6
GOTECH	LC	100	774	10	3.4 <	2.3	2.0	4.9	3.6	*	3.6	84.1
Linfield Hunter & Junius	LC	100	40	24	4.8 >	1.5	1.5	4.4	3.6	*	5.0	88.8
NTB	LC	100	327	41	4.3 >	3.8	3.8	4.9	4.1		4.9	108.6
PENSCO	LC	100	1441	27	4.7 >	2.7	2.7	5.0	3.6	*	4.2	95.4
Providence	LC	100	1032	40	4.3 >	1.6	1.6	4.6	3.6	*	4.7	87.2
Sigma	LC	100	1492	29	4.6 >	2.5	2.6	4.9	3.6	*	4.3	94.1
SJB	LC	100	485	39	4.3 >	4.4	4.4	5.0	4.4		4.9	114.6
T Baker Smith	LC	100	964	24	4.8 >	2.9	2.9	5.0	3.1		4.5	96.1
Tice Engineering, Inc.	LC	100	0	19	4.9 >	1.2	1.2	3.7	3.6	*	5.0	84.1

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

* indicates the average previous work was assigned.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime (if work is less than 10%)