LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings

Project:

44-12666 & 44-12667

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
Coyle Engineering Co., Inc.	108.50	1
Lazenby & Associates	104.29	2
Evans-Graves Engineers, Inc.	100.03	3
Civil Design & Construction, Inc.	91.44	4
GOTECH, Inc.	86.47	5
Aucoin & Associates	86.02	6
Sigma Consulting Group, Inc.	78.97	7
Providence Engineering and Design,	78.43	8

LADOTD Consultant Computed Ratings

Project: 44-12666 & 44-12667

Firm Name	Work Type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	*Firm Size		Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performance		Work Load	Firm's Rating	
(Weighting Factors)					3		3	4	4	6		5	
Aucoin & Associates	LD/CM	100	205	12	4.42	>	2.62	2.42	4.50	2.18	*	4.83	86.02
Civil Design & Construction, Inc.	LD/CM	100	32	16	4.06	>	2.36	2.40	4.20	3.48	*	4.98	91.44
Sigma Consulting Group, Inc.	LD/CM	100	2,594	29	2.89	>	2.36	2.38	4.10	3.55	*	3.20	78.97
Evans-Graves Engineers, Inc.	LD/CM	100	644	31	2.71	>	4.02	4.04	4.11	3.89	*	4.78	100.03
Providence Engineering and Design, LLC	LD/CM	100	831	34	2.44	>	1.78	1.80	3.51	3.48	*	4.73	78.43
GOTECH, Inc.	LD/CM	100	2,058	43	1.63	/	3.38	2.62	4.09	3.81	*	4.35	86.47
Coyle Engineering Co., Inc.	LD/CM	100	32	18	3.88	>	4.22	4.22	4.72	3.92	*	4.98	108.50
Lazenby & Associates	LD/CM	100	1,075	24	3.34	>	4.34	4.34	5.00	3.64		4.41	104.29

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

Note: The scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/-0.005.

^{*} indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.