

LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings

Project: 44-11199 Repost

Firm Name	Rating	Rank
Hardesty & Hanover	97.06	1
GIS Engineering, LLC	96.53	2
Meyer Engineers, Ltd.	96.53	3
Linfield, Hunter & Junius, Inc.	96.45	4
Gresham, Smith and Partners	93.77	5
Providence Engineering and	93.22	6
Michael Baker International, Inc.	92.61	7
Meyer, Meyer, LaCroix, &	92.39	8
SJB Group, LLC	91.78	9
Evans-Graves Engineers, Inc.	91.34	10
ECM Consultants, Inc.	82.71	11

LADOTD Consultant Computed Ratings

Project: 44-11199 Repost

Firm Name	Work Type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	Firm Size	Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	Past Performance	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)	
(Weighting Factors)				3	3	4	4	6	5			
Meyer, Meyer, LaCroix, & Hixson, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	80	161	22	2.20	> 3.55	3.48	4.23	3.26	*	4.93	92.39
Cobb Fendley	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	20	230	4	3.10	> 3.01	3.03	5.00	3.60	*	4.14	
Linfield, Hunter & Junius, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	100	40	21	2.98	> 3.03	2.93	5.00	3.63	*	4.98	96.45
Gresham, Smith and Partners	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	70	697	18	2.41	> 3.44	3.45	5.00	3.47	*	4.51	93.77
GOTECH, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	30	2,058	43	1.00	> 3.30	3.20	5.00	4.00	*	4.35	
Providence Engineering and Design, LLC	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	94	776	31	1.54	> 3.39	3.33	5.00	3.64	*	4.73	93.22
Urban Systems, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	6	910	14	1.54	P 3.17	3.16	5.00	3.47	*	3.96	
Meyer Engineers, Ltd.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	86	900	20	2.71	> 3.29	3.36	5.00	3.92	*	4.40	96.53
GOTECH, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	10	2,058	43	1.00	> 3.30	3.40	5.00	4.01	*	4.35	
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	4	1,039	37	2.71	P 3.47	3.55	5.00	3.73		4.68	
SJB Group, LLC	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	84	1,157	37	1.00	> 3.56	3.37	5.00	3.64	*	4.64	91.78
Urban Systems, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	5	910	14	1.00	P 3.16	3.34	5.00	3.71	*	3.96	
GOTECH, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	11	2,058	43	1.00	> 3.31	3.01	5.00	3.99	*	4.35	
Hardesty & Hanover	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	65	100	8	4.02	> 3.20	3.16	5.00	3.47	*	4.88	97.06
Civil Design & Construction, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	10	32	16	1.00	> 3.25	3.27	5.00	3.60		4.98	
Design Engineering	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	20	51	23	1.00	> 3.20	3.22	5.00	3.60	*	4.98	
Urban Systems, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	5	910	14	4.02	P 3.17	3.15	5.00	3.47	*	3.96	
GIS Engineering, LLC	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	66.5	0	10	3.70	> 3.16	2.93	5.00	3.54	*	5.00	96.53
GOTECH, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	19	2,058	43	1.00	> 3.30	3.28	5.00	4.00	*	4.35	
Neel-Schaffer	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	14.5	1,039	37	1.00	> 3.47	3.55	5.00	3.78	*	4.68	
Evans-Graves Engineers, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	74	644	31	1.00	> 3.36	3.34	5.00	3.57	*	4.78	91.34
GOTECH, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	20	2,058	43	1.00	> 3.30	3.20	5.00	4.00	*	4.35	
Urban Systems, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	6	910	14	1.00	P 3.17	3.16	5.00	3.47	*	3.96	
ECM Consultants, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	78	3,429	31	1.00	> 3.59	3.60	5.00	3.61	*	2.19	82.71
ELOS Environmental, LLC	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	6	234	14	1.00	P 2.88	3.08	5.00	3.47	*	4.83	
Civil Design & Construction, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	10	32	16	1.00	> 3.25	3.25	5.00	3.60		4.98	
Urban Systems, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	6	910	14	1.00	P 3.17	3.15	5.00	3.47	*	3.96	
Michael Baker International, Inc.	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	85	981	26	1.83	> 3.17	3.19	5.00	3.61	*	4.53	92.61
Neel-Schaffer	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	8	1,039	37	1.83	P 3.47	3.55	5.00	3.75	*	4.68	
Civil Design & Construction	LC/RX/CC/CF/CM	7	34	16	1.83	P 3.25	3.27	5.00	3.60		4.98	

> indicates the firm is too large. < indicates the firm is too small.

* indicates the average past performance was assigned for at least one Work Category.

P indicates the sub was assigned the same Firm Size score as the prime.

Note: The scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/- 0.005.