LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings
Project:
44-10400

| Firm Name | Rating | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GOTECH, Inc. | 103.0 | 1 |
| Aucoin \& Associates, Inc | 102.8 | 2 |
| Providence Engineering \& Design, LLC | 95.1 | 3 |
| Duplantis Design Group, PC | 94.7 | 4 |
| All South Consulting Engineers, LLC | 94.3 | 5 |
| GIS Engineering, LLC | 93.8 | 6 |
| Stuart Consulting Group, Inc. | 93.1 | 7 |
| Civil Design \& Construction, Inc. | 85.9 | 8 |
| Halff Associates, Inc. | 74.3 | 9 |

LADOTD Consultant Rating - Computed Ratings
Project:
44-10400

| Firm Name | Work type | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Remainin } \\ & \mathrm{g}(\$ 1000) \end{aligned}$ | Firm Size |  |  | Firm Exp. | Personnel Exp. | Location | Past <br> Performance | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Work } \\ \text { Load } \end{array}$ | Firm's <br> Rating | Total Rating (if subs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Weighting Factors) |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 |  |  |
| All South Consulting Engineers, LLC | RX, LC | 83 | 0 | 29 | 1.0 | > | 2.7 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 95.3 |  |
| sub-The Beta Group | RX, LC | 17 | 104 | 75 | 1.0 | > | 1.9 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 89.4 |  |
| Aucoin \& Associates, Inc | RX, LC | 100 | 441 | 21 | 1.5 | > | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 102.8 | 102.8 |
| Civil Design \& Construction, Inc. | RX, LC | 100 | 87,100 | 16 | 2.4 | > | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 85.9 | 85.9 |
| Duplantis Design Group, PC | RX, LC | 85 | 0 | 74 | 1.0 | $>$ | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 94.7 |  |
| sub-Morris P. Hebert, Inc. | RX, LC | 15 | 0 | 95 | 1.0 | $>$ | 2.6 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 94.7 |  |
| GIS Engineering, LLC | RX, LC | 85 | 0 | 33 | 1.0 | > | 2.4 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 93.6 |  |
| sub-GOTECH, inc | RX, LC | 15 | 774 | 10 | 1.0 | > | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 94.6 |  |
| GOTECH, Inc. | RX, LC | 100 | 774 | 10 | 3.6 | > | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 103.0 | 103.0 |
| Halff Associates, Inc. | RX, LC | 68 | 0 | 433 | 1.0 | > | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 69.9 |  |
| sub-Cobb, Fendley \& Assoiates, in | RX, LC | 17 | 0 | 5 | 1.0 | $>$ | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 80.6 | 74.3 |
| sub-Civil Design \& Construction, | RX, LC | 15 | 87,100 | 16 | 1.0 | > | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 87.2 |  |
| Providence Engineering \& Design, 4 | RX, LC | 100 | 1,032 | 31 | 1.0 | > | 3.1 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 95.1 | 95.1 |
| Stuart Consulting Group, Inc. | RX, LC | 85 | 0 | 24 | 1.0 | $>$ | 2.1 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 92.7 | 93.1 |
| sub-BFM Corporation, LLC | RX, LC | 15 | 0 | 28 | 1.0 | > | 3.0 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 95.4 |  |

$>$ indicates the firm is too large. <indicates the firm is too small.

* indicates the average previous work was assigned.
$P$ indicates the sub was assigned the same compatibility as the prime (if work is less than $10 \%$ )

